John J. Rizzo Page 26 June 17, 1999

INTERNAL REVENUE INVESTIGATION

HEARINGS

SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES EIGHTY-THIRD CONGRESS

FIRST SESSION
ON
ADMINISTRATION OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE LAWS

PART A

FEBRUARY 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 25, 26, 27, MARCH 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, AND 13, 1953

Printed for the use of the Committee on Ways and Means

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, 1953

29091

Exhibit F

John J. Rizzo Page 27 June 17, 1999

estimony of -	
Avis, Dwight E., head, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax division, Bureau of Internal	2 21 125 100 522 555
Review Bemen. Raymond A., Seattle. Wash	
Cambell. E. <i>Riley head Penal</i> Division Bureau of Internal Revenue.	
Farell Fred C., assistant district commissioner, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Division.	13/
Bureau of Internal Revenue, Louisville, Ky	569
Grisby, Earl G. head. Permissive Branch Bureau of Internal Revenue.	
Hearington,. W.D. former district supervisor, Alcohol Tax Unit, Atlanta, Ga	291
Huntington, John L. head, Basic Permit and Trade Practice Branch, Alcohol and	
Tobacco Tax Division of Internal Revenue	2,31,137
Kinnaird, William H., Louisville, Ky. 551	
Koehler, Earle E., head, Enforcement Branch, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Division,	
Bureau of Internal Revenue.	469
Lieberman, Harry, examiner, Bureau of Internal Revenue	137
Malmesbury, Charles H., internal revenue agent, Philadelphia district	50,65
Malsie, Willard G., head, enforcement section, Philadelphia district, Alcohol and	ŕ
Tobacco Tax Division. Bureau of Internal Revenue.	447
McGinitty, John J., customs agent, Bureau of Customs-	93
McPherson, Samuel 0., New Orleans, La.	
McQuown, Carleton R., special investigator, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Division,	
Atlanta, Ga	275
Peterson, Henry Raymond., head. Permissive Branch, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax	
Division, New York, N.Y.	159
Ritter, Robert B., assistant head, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Division, Bureau	
of Internal Revenue.	7.31, 137
Serr, Harold A., technical advisor, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Division, Bureau of	,,,
Internal Revenue.	2 31 121 137
Taylor, William S., head, Permissive Branch, Alcohol and Tobacco Division	
Bureau of Internal Revenue, Louisville, Ky.	560
Tydings, Donald S., Southport, NC.	
Webb, Martin L, head, intelligence Division, Office of the Director of Internal	
Revenue, St Louis, Mo	50, 65
Weinert, Werner M., Assistant Chief. Plant Section, Permissive Branch, Alcohol and	
Tobacco Tax Division. Bureau of Internal Revenue.	103
West, Lewis W., head, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Division. South Carolina, Bureau	
of Internal Revenue	231 513
Whisenhunt, George II investigator, Alcohol arid Tobacco Tax Division, Florence,	231,313
S.C.	477
Wooten, Edward W.,manager, Washington office, Wine Institute	
Yates, Joe C., acting head, Enforcement Branch, Alcohol s and Tobacco Tax Division	133
Louisville, Ky	537
dditional information submitted for the record	
Memorandum regarding cost of reclassifying district. February 4,1953	501
Mealey, Carroll E.	
Correspondence attempting to contact	00.02
Excerpts from telephone diary.	
List of conventions attended by	
Ryan, Richard L., affidavit of.	
Tiara Products Co., history of prior violations.	
	III

John J. Rizzo Page 28 June 17, 1999

INTERNAL REVENUE INVESTIGATION

MR. CURTIS. So in comparing the number of men in the top echelon who would supervise the people in the field, that varies from district to district?

MR. AVIS. That is true in some districts where we do not have very much of a permissive problem. we would not have as many permissive supervisors.

MR. CURTIS. What is a permissive problem?

Mr. Avis. That Is your tax problem, your regulatory problem, your regulation of your industry; that is what we refer to generally as the permissive side, that is, distinguished from the law enforcement.

CHAIRMAN KEAN. They are classified differently?

MR. AVIS. Depending no the job they do. For example, take in the Denver district and the Seattle district, the problem there does not correspond to the Louisville district, for example, which is a large distilling center, and in Indiana and Kentucky, and consequently they are not graded as high.

CHAIRMAN KEAN. You still have the same title, but not the same grade and salary?

MR. AVIS. That is right, sir.

CHAIRMAN KEAN. And the staff is much smaller in one area than in another?

MR. AVIS. Much smaller, and that applies to rank and file, of course, as well as to intermediate supervisory positions.

CHAIRMAN KEAN. The only reason some of these areas exist are for geographical reasons, and otherwise you would probably make it a lot bigger to cover more territory; but for geographical reasons you have to bunch them close together, some of the smaller ones?

MR. AVIS. That is true, sir.

MR. CURTIS. I have one more question. What type of alcohol and liquor tax problem would be referred to the Bureau of Internal Revenue generally, arid not be confined to and finally disposed of in the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Division?

MR. AVIS. I do not believe there is any.

One of my assistants refers to policy and personnel, and of course, under this new structure, we are concerned here in Washington, as I pointed out, largely with policy and in administering the industry, rather than directing the personnel. That is left primarily to the district commissioners or, rather, the assistant district commissioners.

MR. CURTIS. An alcohol tax matter that would go to the Appeals Section –

MR. AVIS There is just no such thing. That is where this structure differs.

Let me point this out now: Your income tax is 100 percent voluntary tax and your liquor tax is 100 percent enforced tax. Now, the situation is as different as night and day. Consequently, your same rules just will not apply, and therefore the alcohol and tobacco tax has been handled here in this reorganization a little differently, because of the very nature of it, than the rest of the overall tax problem.

DOWNLOADED FROM:

Sovereignty Education and Defense Ministry (SEDM) Website

http://sedm.org

