
Problems With Atheistic Anarchism Form #08.020

by: Sovereignty Education and Defense Ministry (SEDM)

http://sedm.org

March 13, 2014



Course Materials

If you want a copy of this presentation after viewing the course, you can download it from:

- Youtube channel video

http://youtu.be/n883Ce1IML0

-<u>SEDM Forms Page</u>, Form #08.020

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

DISCLAIMER

- Information appearing in this presentation is educational in nature
- Everything presented is based on:
 - Thousands of hours of scriptural and legal research
 - Review and use of the resulting research by the over 1 Million people who have visited and are currently using the <u>SEDM Website</u> and <u>Family</u> <u>Guardian Website</u>
 - Continuous feedback from our many readers that have improved the quality of the information over time
- If you find anything inaccurate in this presentation, it is a DUTY of being a
 <u>Member</u> to promptly point this out and provide the evidence upon which you
 base that conclusion so that we may continually improve our materials. Your
 evidence must be completely consistent with our presentation below:

<u>Reasonable Belief About Income Tax Liability</u>, Form #05.007 DIRECT LINK: http://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/ReasonableBelief.pdf FORMS PAGE: http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- The application of this information to your specific legal circumstances is exclusively your choice and responsibility
- The information presented is copyrighted and subject to the copyright restrictions found at:

http://sedm.org/disclaimer.htm

 Our disclaimer is the SAME disclaimer as the U.S. government uses. See section Internal Revenue Manual, Section 4.10.7.2.8 at:

http://www.irs.gov/irm/part4/ch10s11.html

DISCLAIMER

- THIS NON-COMMERCIAL VIDEO IS PROTECTED BY THE FAIR USE DOCTRINE OF THE COPYRIGHT ACT, 17 U.S.C.
- PLEASE CONSULT OUR D.M.C.A. PAGE IF YOU HAVE COPYRIGHT ISSUES:

http://sedm.org/Ministry/DMCA-Copyright.htm

DEDICATION

"Men perform according to their theology, whether it is right or wrong."

[A.W. Tozier]

"Law is the practical application of theology." [A.W. Tozier]

"Be diligent to [investigate and expose the truth for yourself and thereby] present yourself [and the public servants who are your fiduciaries and stewards under the Constitution] approved to God, a worker who does not need to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word [and the deeds] of truth. But shun profane babblings [atheist propaganda, tyranny, and usurpation] for they will increase to more ungodliness. And their message [and their harmful affects] will spread like cancer [to destroy our society and great Republic]."

[2 Tim. 2:15-17, Bible, NKJV]

- INTRODUCTION
- PART 1: THEORY
- 1.1 What is "anarchy"?
- 1.2 What is a "ruler"?
- 1.3 WHO are the atheist anarchists?
- 1.4 The TWO sources of law
- 1.5 Law is the essence of who the Christian God is.
- 1.6 The source of law is unavoidably the God in any society
- 1.7 The THREE types of anarchists
- 1.8 Atheism is all about God
- 1.9 Satan was the ULTIMATE TOTAL anarchist

- PART 2: ANALYSIS OF PROBLEMS
- 2.1 What we agree with about Atheist Anarchist philosophy
- 2.2 The problem is lack of ACCOUNTABILITY, not human "rulers"
- 2.3 Anti-religious fervor alienates most people
- 2.4 "Rule of law" is impossible without SOME kind of "God"
- 2.5 All criticism and no workable solutions (whiners)
- 2.6 Oversimplification of the issues because of legal ignorance
- 2.7 Rejecting the use of force by organized groups for self-defense and ONLY CRIMINAL enforcement
- 2.8 Atheist Anarchism is Satanic

- PART 3: WHAT ANARCHISTIC ATHEISTS ARE REALLY FIGHTING AND DON'T EVEN REALIZE IT
- 3.1 Enforcement of CIVIL franchises within constitutional states
- 3.2 Misrepresentation of CIVIL franchises as "law" rather than a voluntary contract or agreement
- 3.3 Confusing CIVIL penalties within franchises with CRIMINAL enforcement
- 3.4 Abuses of franchises to connect people to OTHER franchises or franchises they are not engaged in
- 3.5 Government anarchism

- PART 4: WHAT WE WOULD FIX IN THEIR CONFLICTED APPROACH
- 4.1 Embrace rather than reject religion
- 4.2 Insist on UNALIENABLE, PERSONAL, PRIVATE rights
- 4.3 Recognize the absolute separation between PUBLIC and PRIVATE
- 4.4 Recognize the two types of law: CIVIL and CRIMINAL
- 4.5 Oppose ALL CIVIL franchise enforcement within states of the Union
- 4.6 Insist on evidence of INFORMED WRITTEN CONSENT in all CIVIL interactions with government

- 4.7 Insist on absolute equality of government with every human in all CIVIL interactions
- 4.8 Insist on the right to CIVILLY disassociate with every government and stop subsidizing it
- 4.9 How God wants us to effect the reforms documented here

Questions for Atheistic Anarchists

- Part 5: QUESTIONS THEY CAN'T ANSWER WITHOUT CONTRADICTING THEMSELVES AND THEREFORE LYING
- 5.1 How can there rationally be a "ruling class" in America if the U.S. Supreme Court has identified INDIVIDUAL HUMANS as the ONLY "sovereigns" in our system of government who are all ABSOLUTELY equal to both each other AND the "government" that serves them?
- 5.2 How is the natural right of self-defense realized WITHOUT unwanted aggression against others?
- 5.3 Under what conditions is ORGANIZED COOPERATIVE DEFENSIVE AGGRESSION warranted by a GROUP rather than an SINGLE HUMAN? Is collective self defense ONE of these?
- 5.4 Why is it wrong to call a group organized EXCLUSIVELY for PERSONAL self defense AGAINST aggression "government"?
- 5.5 How can you define COLLECTIVE RULES for INDIVIDUAL self defense WITHOUT implementing a classical "government" of one kind or another?

- 5.6 Precisely WHAT is your definition of "government" if it ISN'T an organized collective VOLUNTARY association of people whose sole goal is self defense of PRIVATE PERSONAL and NATURAL HUMAN rights? Isn't that really what the Declaration of Independence describes?
- 5.7 What if the CRIMINAL laws are the historical method of SELF DEFENSE against aggression? Are you against a government that implements ONLY CRIMINAL laws and has no CIVIL enforcement except by common law or voluntary INDIVIDUAL <u>DEMONSTRATED WRITTEN consent</u> in each specific case?
- 5.8 Other than WHINING and CRITICIZING others, what is your PRECISE plan for a SPECIFIC organized COLLECTIVE VOLUNTARY group to defend itself from violent aggression by others?
- 5.9 Without God, how can you create any system based on the rule of law that does not have a fallible HUMAN ruler?
- 5.10 How can you define even CRIMINAL "law" for a society without practicing "religion" of SOME kind? Doesn't this make ATHEISM a logical impossibility?


- Part 6: Conclusions
- Part 7: How to properly respond to this presentation
- Remedies
- Further Information
- Stefan Molyneux Apologizes

Introduction

- Atheistic Anarchists discredit the freedom community by:
 - Portraying themselves as legally ignorant and therefore incapable of SELF-government.
 - Portraying themselves as selfish or hedonistic narcissists like their government opponents.
 - Alienating the religious community and therefore MOST people by calling religion IRRATIONAL and just as bad as most government.
 - Advocating the elimination of the police powers (collective DEFENSIVE aggression) of the government and thereby allowing CRIME to go rampant.
 - Appearing rational and educated but being just as irrational and legally ignorant as their "statist" opponents

Introduction

- This course was created to:
 - Explain what we agree with about Atheistic Anarchism.
 - Explain the THREE types of anarchism, of which Atheistic Anarchism is one.
 - Identify logical fallacies in the Atheistic Anarchist approach.
 - Challenge Atheistic Anarchists with questions that reveal their "cognitive dissonance" and irrationality.
 - Suggest CONSTRUCTIVE methods to remove the problems with their conflicted and irrational approach.
 - Expand the freedom community as a collective by improving and enhancing its credibility.
 - Remove all the objections any government could have to those who share our views as RELIGIOUS Anarchists who have strong religious views.
- This course will NOT attack specific people, but rather discredit IDEAS and PHILOSOPHIES that can't be true or rational, because they conflict with themselves or with other sources of recognized truth.



1.1 What is "anarchy"?

Definitions:

Main Entry: an·ar·chy

Function: noun

Etymology: Medieval Latin anarchia, from Greek, from anarchos

having no ruler, from an- + archos ruler -- more at ARCH-

[Source: Merriam Webster Dictionary]

Main Entry: rul-er Function: noun

1 : one that <u>rules</u>; specifically : <u>SOVEREIGN</u>

Main Entry: ¹sov⋅er⋅eign

Function: noun

1 a : one possessing or held to possess sovereignty b : one that

exercises supreme authority within a limited sphere

[Source: Merriam Webster Dictionary]

1.1 What is "anarchy"?

- We like to think of an anarchist as someone who:
 - Is not ACCOUNTABLE or RESPONSIBLE to ANYONE.
 - Has no LEGAL LIMITS upon their authority. Law is the method of ensuring accountability and limitations upon authority.
 - May injure others without accountability or liability for the injury in a court of law. The courts sometimes call this kind of LACK of accountability "sovereign immunity", "official immunity", or "judicial immunity".
- The purpose of the constitution is to impose LIMITATIONS and ACCOUNTABILITY upon those in government to PREVENT anarchy by anyone working in the government or the government as a whole.
- Everything that is wrong with the world BEGAN in the Garden of Eden when the serpent tempted Eve with TWO WAYS to avoid RESPONSIBILITY or ACCOUNTABILITY to God. See <u>Genesis Chapters 2-3</u>. Those things were:
 - She would not suffer the consequences of her sin of eating the fruit, meaning that she could become "lawless" and not accountable to God for her transgression and injury.
 - She would become LIKE God. The chief characteristic of any god is complete lack of ACCOUNTABILITY or RESPONSIBILITY to anyone and everyone else.

1.1 What is "anarchy"?

- The absence of GOVERNMENT RULERS exists under any one or more of the following circumstances:
 - 1. The PEOPLE as individuals are the ONLY "sovereign".
 - 2. The "rulers" in the courtroom are the jury instead of a public servant or government worker.
 - 3. The government that SERVES the Sovereign People has an obligation to prove WRITTEN CONSENT with evidence IN COURT BEFORE it can lawfully engage in any kind of CIVIL STATUTORY ENFORCEMENT against those who they SERVE from below rather than RULE from above.
 - 4. The only type of government is CRIMINAL government and CIVIL statutory laws do not pertain to PRIVATE humans or anything other than GOVERNMENT workers.
 - 5. All civil litigation against the government by private human beings is undertaken under the common law with perfect equality of ALL parties. There is no sovereign, official, or judicial immunity by anyone in the government.
- Unfortunately, most Atheistic Anarchists don't talk about much less realize any of the above implications.

1.2 What is a "ruler"?

Definition:

rul-er

/'rular/ Show Spelled [roo-ler] Show IPA

noun 1. a person who <u>rules</u> or governs; sovereign.

- 2. Also, <u>rule</u>. a strip of wood, metal, or other material having a straight edge and usually marked off in inches or centimeters, used for drawing lines, measuring, etc.
- 3. a person or thing that <u>rules</u> paper, wood, etc.
- 4. Astrology . the planet primarily associated with any sign of the zodiac or any house of the horoscope: The ruler of Aries is Mars. The ruler of Taurus is Venus.

[Dictionary.com, Downloaded 3/15/2014]

- We define a "ruler" as any "person" that can lawfully institute force or coercion to override your natural or constitutional rights against your will and without your consent.
- One cannot "rule" others without having SUPERIOR or SUPERNATURAL powers that are ABOVE those being ruled.

1.2 What is a "ruler"?

- Any "person" who has "SUPERIOR" or "SUPERNATURAL" powers above those of the NATURAL humans being governed or "ruled" is a "god" of one kind or another, because that is what religion is defined as: "worshipping" and "obeying" the LAWS of those with SUPERIOR or SUPERNATURAL powers.
- It is because of the above fact that we say that it is IMPOSSIBLE to implement any civil society WITHOUT ALSO instituting a RELIGION of one kind or another.
- The definition of "<u>religion</u>" in Black's Law Dictionary bears these conclusions out:

Religion. Man's relation to Divinity, to reverence, worship, obedience, and submission to mandates and precepts of supernatural or superior beings. In its broadest sense includes all forms of belief in the existence of superior beings exercising power over human beings by volition, imposing rules of conduct, with future rewards and punishments. Bond uniting man to God, and a virtue whose purpose is to render God worship due him as source of all being and principle of all government of things. Nikulnikoff v. Archbishop, etc., of Russian Orthodox Greek Catholic Church, 142 Misc. 894, 255 N.Y.S. 653, 663.

[Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p. 1292]

1.2 What is a "ruler"?

Our view of "rulers":

- God is the only "ruler". He is the only legal "person" who can "govern" because he owns it ALL and He CREATED it all. The CREATOR of a thing is ALWAYS the OWNER of that thing and all who "use" that thing under American Jurisprudence.
- Only those acting under the <u>delegated authority of God</u> in the Bible and not in conflict with God's laws can be "rulers". Otherwise they are TYRANTS and ATHEISTIC ANARCHISTS. See:

<u>Delegation of Authority Order from God to Christians</u>, Form #13.007 <u>http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm</u>

For a detailed treatise on biblical standards for civil rulers, see:

<u>Biblical Standards for Civil Rulers</u>, Form #13.013 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- If we are "governed" or "ruled" by any of the following, then technically we are NOT being "ruled" by a "man" or "human".
 - Those who are acting as agents of God.
 - The laws of God.
- The American System of Law was set up consistent with the above, because as the U.S. Supreme Court held in <u>Marbury v.</u> <u>Madison,5 U.S. 137</u> we are "a society of law and NOT men".

1.3 WHO are the Atheistic Anarchists?

- At this point in time, the main atheist anarchists we are aware of include:
 - Stefan Molyneux.
 - » http://www.freedomain.com/
 - Larken Rose.
 - » http://larkenrose.com
 - Jeff Berwick
 - » http://anarchast.com/
- There may be OTHERs who belong in the above list that we aren't aware of. Please notify us if this is the case so that we can update this presentation.
- In regards to the followers of the Atheistic Anarchism philosophy or its millions of proponents:

"That millions of people share the same forms of mental pathology does not make these people sane." [Eric From]

1.3 WHO are the Atheistic Anarchists?

- We applaud any and all efforts by the above people to either debate this presentation IN ITS ENTIRETY or to remove the rational objections that it makes to their dissonant or ineffective philosophies.
- This presentation should not be interpreted as a personal attack upon anyone who claims the Atheistic Anarchism approach, but upon philosophies that contradict themselves or are ineffective and therefore cannot be true or form a prudent basis for personal governance.

1.4 The Two sources of law

- There are TWO sources of law in any society:
 - God. Derived from the written dogma or canon of specific religions.
 - Man. Derived from the collective consent of members of a group and civilly enforceable against ONLY those members.
- Comparison of two law systems:

Characteristic	God derived	Man derived
Documented in	Religious writings	Laws enacted through democratic vote
Governed group	Believers of a specific religion	Citizens and Residents of a specific government
Method of amendment	Unchanging	Frequently changing based on political climate or personal whim
Enforcers	Church	Executive branch of government
Implemented as	A franchise and trust indenture in which the EARTH is the corpus and believers are the trustees	Civil and Criminal law
Method of contracting for protection	Baptism	Choosing a domicile within the civil jurisdiction of the specific government
Ruling class or "sovereign"	God	Individual men usually acting for PERSONAL rather than COLLECTIVE "benefit"

1.5 Law is the essence of who the Christian God is

God is love:

"And we have known and believed the love that God has for us. God is love, and he who abides in love [obedience to God's Laws] abides in [and is a FIDUCIARY of] God, and God in him."
 [1 John 4:16, Bible, NKJV]

Jesus defined love as "keeping my commandments":

- "Not everyone who <u>says</u> to Me, 'Lord, Lord,' shall enter the kingdom of heaven, <u>but he</u> who does the will of My Father in heaven."
 [Jesus in <u>Matt. 7:21</u>, Bible, NKJV]
- "My mother and My brothers are these who hear the word of God and do it."
 [Luke 8:21, Bible, NKJV]
- "He who has [understands and learns] My commandments [laws in the Bible (OFFSITE LINK)] and keeps them, it is he who loves Me. And he who loves Me will be loved by My Father, and I will love him and manifest Myself to him."
 [John 14:21, Bible, NKJV]
- Therefore, OBEDIENCE TO HIS LAW is the essence of who God is.
- The OPPOSITE of God is:
 - LAWLESSNESS.
 - Willful disobedience of God's laws.
- Anarchists claim to be without rulers, and thus NOT "ruled" by "law". They therefore seek to be AGAINST God.

1.5 Law is the essence of who the Christian God is

 The Bible is the <u>codification of God's law</u>, complete with statutes, judgments, and ordinances.

"So you shall observe My statutes and keep My judgments, and perform them; and you will dwell in the land in safety."

[<u>Lev. 25:18</u>, Bible, NKJV]

"These are the statutes and judgments and laws which the Lord made between Himself and the children of Israel on Mount Sinai by the hand of Moses."

[Lev. 26:46, Bible, NKJV]

1.6 The source of law is unavoidably the God of any society

Rousas Rushdoony:

- Law is in every culture religious in origin. Because law governs man and society, because it establishes and declares the meaning of justice and righteousness, law is inescapably religious, in that it establishes in practical fashion the ultimate concerns of a culture. Accordingly, a fundamental and necessary premise in any and every study of law must be, first, a recognition of this religious nature of law.
- Second, it must be recognized that in any culture the source of law is the god of that society. If law has its source in man's reason, then reason is the god of that society. If the source is an oligarchy, or in a court, senate, or ruler, then that source is the god of that system. Thus, in Greek culture law was essentially a religiously humanistic concept,
 - » In contrast to every law derived from revelation, nomos for the Greeks originated in the mind (nous). So the genuine nomos is no mere obligatory law, but something in which an entity valid in itself is discovered and appropriated...It is "the order which exists (from time immemorial), is valid and is put into operation."
- Because for the Greeks mind was one being with the ultimate order of things, man's mind was thus able to discover ultimate law (nomos) out of its own resources, by penetrating through the maze of accident and matter to the fundamental ideas of being. As a result, Greek culture became both humanistic, because man's mind was one with ultimacy, and also neoplatonic, ascetic, and hostile to the world of matter, because mind, to be truly itself, had to separate itself from non-mind.
- Modern humanism, the religion of the state, locates law in the state and thus makes the state, or the people as they find expression in the state, the god of the system. As Mao Tse-Tung has said, "Our God is none other than the masses of the Chinese people."[2] In Western culture, law has steadily moved away from God to the people (or the state) as its source, although the historic power and vitality of the West has been in Biblical faith and law.

1.6 The source of law is unavoidably the God of any society

- Third, in any society, any change of law is an explicit or implicit change of religion. Nothing more clearly reveals, in fact, the religious change in a society than a legal revolution. When the legal foundations shift from Biblical law to humanism, it means that the society now draws its vitality and power from humanism, not from Christian theism.
- Fourth, no disestablishment of religion as such is possible in any society. A church can be disestablished, and a particular religion can be supplanted by another, but the change is simply to another religion. Since the foundations of law are inescapably religious, no society exists without a religious foundation or without a law-system which codifies the morality of its religion.
- Fifth, there can be no tolerance in a law-system for another religion. Toleration is a device used to introduce a new law-system as a prelude to a new intolerance. Legal positivism, a humanistic faith, has been savage in its hostility to the Biblical law-system and has claimed to be an "open" system. But Cohen, by no means a Christian, has aptly described the logical positivists as "nihilists" and their faith as "nihilistic absolutism."[3] Every law-system must maintain its existence by hostility to every other law-system and to alien religious foundations or else it commits suicide.
- [<u>The Institutes of Biblical Law</u>, Rousas Rushdoony, pp 4-5
 http://chalcedon.edu/store/Biblical+Law/the-institutes-of-biblical-law-three-volume-set/]

Further reading:

<u>Why All Man-Made Law is Religious in Nature</u>
http://famguardian.org/Subjects/LawAndGovt/ChurchVState/WhyAllManmadeLawRelig.htm

Video

G. Edward Griffin on the view of COLLECTIVISTS toward religion: They HATE them.

[Why Communism, Socialism, and Fascism are the Same; SOURCE: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=005cahlsSXE]

1.7 The THREE types of anarchists

- Since there are TWO types of law, then there are THREE TYPES of anarchists:
 - 1. <u>STATIST anarchists</u>. Reject GOD's law but accept and embrace MAN's law.
 - 2. <u>RELIGIOUS anarchists</u>. Reject that subset of MAN's law that requires consent or conflicts with God's law, meaning ONLY the civil statutory law, and insist on living under GOD's law.
 - 3. <u>ATHEIST anarchists</u>. Reject both MAN's law and GOD's law and every form of <u>collective or collectivist governance</u>.
- We made up the above terms. We have not seen them used anywhere else.
- The above designations are used for analysis and argument within this presentation.
- This ministry is the SECOND type of anarchist above.

1.8 Atheism is all about God

- It is a fact that you cannot claim to be an anarchist without recognizing the existence of God.
- You can't deny something that doesn't exist. As soon as you speak of some THING you've acknowledged it or created it...at least as an idea that you might want to refute. That's how God created the world when he looked into the void...with words...

"In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God."

[John 1:1, Bible, NKJV]

- Even SATAN acknowledged God and therefore was NOT an atheist.
 - In <u>Job 1 and 2</u>, God and Satan have a dialog.
 - Zechariah 3, Lord rebukes Satan in person.
 - Matthew 4, Mark 1, Satan tempts God/Jesus.

1.8 Atheism is all about God

- Atheist Anarchists falsely claim to be scientific and insist on PROOF of EVERYTHING, and yet they reach the conclusion that God DOES NOT exist without any physical evidence to prove it! Hence, THEY are practicing a religion in which PRESUMPTION serves as a substitute for religious faith and THEY and their own whims are the thing being worshipped, obeyed, and paid homage to.
- There is principally no difference between:
 - Worshipping a COLLECTIVE group of men called a "government" as a STATIST Atheist . . . AND
 - Worshipping a SINGLE man such as oneself as an ANARCHIST Atheist. All narcissists practice the religion of self-worship in which THEY are the source of law and morality rather than the true and living God.

1.8 Atheism is all about God

- Religion takes many forms and includes STATISM, but just about ANYTHING can become a religion, INCLUDING self or an individual human. Christians and the Bible call this "idolatry".
- To ascribe <u>UNEQUAL or SUPERIOR rights</u> or a lack of accountability to anyone is in a sense to create a religion that worships humans or self rather than God.

1.9 Satan was the ULTIMATE anarchist

- Satan sought to be LIKE God and therefore accountable or responsible or legally liable to NO ONE for any of his actions or the injuries they could cause. Gen. 3:5, Isaiah 14:12-21.
- Lack of accountability leads to:
 - Narcissism.
 - Corruption within governments.
 - Degeneration of the morality of a people. In effect, they become "de-moralized".
- Satan is described as the "lawless one" in the Bible (2
 Thess. 2). To be WITHOUT LAW or ACCOUNTABILITY
 to ANY law is the equivalent to being without a ruler
 and therefore an ATHEISTIC ANARCHIST.
- Every system of law recognizes a "sovereign" of one kind or another, and therefore a "ruler". Satan sought to be a co-equal sovereign to God, and therefore to evade all responsibility and accountability under BOTH GOD's law and MAN's law.

1.9 Satan was the ULTIMATE anarchist



"The Lawless One" (not "RULED" by law)

"For the mystery of lawlessness is already at work; only He [God] who now restrains will do so until He is taken out of the way. And then the lawless one [Satan] will be revealed, whom the Lord will consume with the breath of His mouth and destroy with the brightness of His coming. The coming of the lawless one [Satan] is according to the working of Satan, with all power, signs, and lying wonders, and with all unrighteous deception among those who perish, because they did not receive the love of the truth, that they might be saved [don't be one of them!]. for this reason God will send them strong delusion [from their own government], they should believe a lie, that they all may be condemned who did not believe the truth but had pleasure in unrighteousness."

[2 Thess. 2:3-17, Bible, NKJV]

Watch the following movie clip of Satan describing his WICKED agenda:

http://sedm.org/what-we-are-up-against/

Part 2: **Analysis of Problems with Atheistic Anarchism**

- We agree in the concept of self-ownership. See:
 - http://famguardian1.org/Subjects/Freedom/Articles/PhilosophyOfLiberty.mp4
- We agree that there should be no HUMAN rulers. Note that a "society RULED by law" can be formed WITHOUT HUMAN rulers, but still WITH LAW as the "RULER". This can only happen if those laws recognize and protect ONLY:
 - The absolute inalienable equality of all. . .AND
 - PRIVATE property.
- We agree that the only legitimate use of force or aggression is in SELF-DEFENSE against the CRIMES of others against our PRIVATE person or property.
- We agree that our system of government has never been truly free, because it implemented a caste system in which not everyone was truly EQUAL in the eyes of the law. Women and blacks are an example, because they started out as chattel.

- We agree that it is a breach of fiduciary duty for any government to make a business or <u>profitable franchise</u> out of alienating natural rights that are SUPPOSED to be unalienable in relation to a REAL government, and especially by offering or <u>abusing franchises</u> of any and every kind. This turns government into a for-profit WHOREHOUSE in which "citizens" are the WHORE being "rented out".
- We agree that government should not CIVILLY regulate ANYTHING without at least the <u>EXPRESS WRITTEN</u> <u>CONSENT</u> of those regulated and that any deviation from this is THEFT and SLAVERY.
- We agree that people should be free to practice their choice of religion or to be atheists or agnostics WITHOUT religion.

- We agree that anyone and everyone should have the right to:
 - Quit "The Club" called "The State"
 - Become <u>transient foreigners and nonresidents</u> rather than STATUTORY "citizens" or "residents"...AND
 - Refuse to accept the "benefits" of or pay for the "civil services" of "The Club" in the form of <u>"tribute" or</u> <u>"taxes"</u>.
- The above abilities alone would be enough to reform all the problems with the present system if it were permitted. WHY? Because it would make government DIRECTLY ACCOUNTABLE on a financial level for offering NET BENEFIT for their services and restore PEOPLE as the CONSUMER with absolute power over the vendor.

 The common law PERMITS leaving the system, becoming a "nonresident", and subject to the common law and not statutory civil law:

Cujus est commodum ejus debet esse incommodum. He who receives the benefit should also bear the disadvantage.

Hominum caus jus constitutum est. Law is established for the benefit of man.

Invito beneficium non datur. No one is obliged to accept a benefit against his consent. Dig. 50, 17, 69. But if he does not dissent he will be considered as assenting. Vide Assent.

Privilegium est beneficium personale et extinguitur cum person. <u>A privilege is a personal benefit and dies with the person</u>. 3 Buls. 8.

Que sentit commodum, sentire debet et onus.

He who derives a benefit from a thing, ought to feel the disadvantages attending it. 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 1433.

[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856; SOURCE:

http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]

- Atheistic Anarchists complain about the usurpation and corruption of human "rulers".
- Most of the problems with the present system stem BACK to a LACK OF ACCOUNTABILITY for the wrongs of those in government.
- It is proven scientific and psychological fact that lack of accountability completely corrupts ANYONE, and especially governments. See:
 - The Psychology of Evil: The Lucifer Effect in Action http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1uCaAGx_dPY
 - Stanford Prison Experiment http://prisonexp.org/
 - Milgram Experiment

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Milgram_experiment

- Accountability cannot be restored without:
 - 1. A "person" and "ruler" above that of any HUMAN public servant.
 - 2. An absolute and stable system of law and morality that transcends and is ABOVE that of any government, because governments write most but not all HUMAN law.
 - 3. A law system that exists INDEPENDENT and ABOVE that of statutory CIVIL law written by humans. This is the common law.
 - 4. Recognition of the above by the Average Jurist and Voter through systematic legal and moral education. This role has historically been filled mainly by religions. There is no other proven method to perpetuate it, in fact.
- Atheistic Anarchists fail to understand that no mere single "human" or even political minority can institute the above, no matter how dedicated and passionate they may be about their cause.

- In our system of government, the following SIX tools are the ONLY protections to PREVENT lack of accountability:
 - 1. <u>The Common law</u>. Protects people from injuries by other people when acting in a private capacity or violating their delegation order, even if there is no statute that implements the protection.
 - 2. <u>The Constitution</u>. Bill of Rights provides remedies for injuries to specific PRIVATE rights by government actors.
 - 3. <u>The Separation of Powers</u>. Separates government into branches who have competing interests so they will check and balance each other and power does not become concentrated. See:

<u>Government Conspiracy to Destroy the Separation of Powers</u>, Form #05.023 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- 4. Representative government. The laws can be made ONLY by people popularly elected and who represent those people and therefore are accountable to those people.
- Rules of Statutory Construction. Prevents public servants from expanding their delegation order by tinkering with the definitions.
 <u>Legal Deception, Propaganda, and Fraud</u>, Form #05.014
 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- 6. <u>Criminal corruption laws</u>. Ensure that self interest never supersedes public interest on the part of public servants.

- Unfortunately, item 6 above has been circumvented and thus overcome all the previous five protections at this point in time.
- Item 6 has been circumvented by:
 - Government having a monopoly on enforcing the CRIMINAL laws.
 - Government departments of justice REFUSING to prosecute those who violate the corruption laws. We call this "selective enforcement".
 - Conflict of financial interest by government departments of justice because of the illegal flow of TAX AND FRANCHISE PLUNDER facilitated by the corruption.
- Item 5 has also been circumvented by abusing the words "includes" and "including" to illegally expand definitions beyond their clear meaning.
- To restore accountability of public servants, we need to enforce the corruption laws that have been compromised:

- 1. Allow and even incentivize criminal corruption prosecutions by private citizens and/or grand juries against corrupt public servants or public officers, so that the fox isn't in charge of the hen house. In the common law realm this is encouraged and is called "qui tam" action.
- 2. Enforce current criminal corruption laws on jury tampering at 18 U.S.C. §1503. The following are all crimes:
 - » Allowing anyone to serve as a jurist who has a financial interest in the outcome. This means that benefit recipients cannot be jurists in a tax trial.
 - » Threatening a jury by telling them that either their government "benefits" will be reduced or their tax bill will be increased if they don't prosecute a tax defier for an alleged tax crime.
 - » Making a judge a "taxpayer", if he is deciding the facts AND the law, for instance, in a summary judgment.
- 3. Enforce current criminal corruption laws on voting by ensuring that voters receiving public benefits are prosecuted if they vote on any issue or politician promise that affects those benefits. 18 U.S.C. §597, 18 U.S.C. §208.

5. Private citizens approaching grand juries often and individually to frequently request prosecution of the above crimes. See:

<u>Activism Page</u>, Section 13, Family Guardian Fellowship http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Activism/Activism.htm

6. Ensure that the IRS is treated as what it really is: A Private, for profit corporation that is not part of the U.S. government or even the Treasury Department. Hence, sovereign immunity CANNOT be used to protect ANY of its activities and NO ONE who is a "taxpayer" can be supervised by its activities and simultaneously ALSO be a "public officer". That is a violation of the Separation of Powers. Hence See:

<u>Origins and Authority of the Internal Revenue Service</u>, Form #05.005 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

7. Eliminate attorney licensing in constitutional actions or actions against the government, so that the legal system will TRULY be "adversarial" as it currently falsely CLAIMS that it is.

- 8. Encourage and promote education of the general public about law so that they can efficiently effect the above reforms ON THEIR OWN in court WITHOUT the aid of an obviously corrupted and complicit legal profession.
- 9. Widely publicize and distribute anti-propaganda materials that will discredit all those in government who spread FALSE information designed to interfere with or obstruct the above efforts. See:

SEDM Liberty University, Sections 8 and 9

http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm

2.3 Anti-religious fervor alienates most people

- Atheistic Anarchists believe that there is no God.
- More that 80% of Americans believe in a "God" of some kind.
- Politically it is suicide for a collective to attack a majority of this size in this manner.
- Any group of people that attacks a majority of this size can never achieve critical political mass and become main stream.
- The above concerns are why Atheistic Anarchism is unlikely to ever be main stream.

As we said before:

"The source of law is the God of any society."

- The source of law and the enforcers of that law MUST have rights "superior" to those who are the object of the enforcement or they couldn't FORCE or ENFORCE.
 - If those powers are "superior" but NOT "supernatural", or ABOVE the "natural" (meaning mere humans), then religion is impossible and government is equal in rights and powers to every other man.
 - If it is "superior" AND "supernatural", a state-sponsored religion has been UNCONSTITUTIONALLY created.
- The <u>abuse of law or franchises</u> to create "supernatural powers" on the part of government as described above is explained in:

<u>Government Establishment of Religion</u>, Form #05.038 <u>http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm</u>

- Government, like God, is a "legal fiction" and a mere abstraction.
 - The Kingdom of Heaven, like "U.S. Inc", is a "corporation".
 - Believers are "officers of the corporation" and trustees under the Bible trust indenture.
- Any attempt to impute or delegate any right or power to GOVERNMENT or a <u>CIVIL RULER</u> that the people themselves do not INDIVIDUALLY posses ALSO results unavoidably in the CREATION of an <u>unconstitutional</u> <u>state-sponsored RELIGION that violates the First</u> <u>Amendment</u>. That religion is the foundation of what STATIST Atheists believe in.
- That STATIST Atheist utopia is exhaustively described in:

<u>Socialism: The New American Civil Religion</u>, Form #05.016 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- When Atheistic Anarchists eliminate God from their philosophy, here are the problems they often unwittingly create for themselves:
 - "De-moralize" a society, because religion is inescapably the source of morality in every culture on earth.
 - Eliminate a system of morality that transcends human laws and can be transmitted through generations.
 - Eliminate any possibility of an objective, unchanging, absolute source of law and morality independent of human whim and caprice.
 - Alienate religious groups that are the majority.
 - Remove God as their "ruler". This is SATANIC.
 - FORCE a society to select a fallible and sinful MAN or group of men as their ONLY source of "law", thus imposing a corrupt "human ruler".

 Here is what God says about atheists and the hopelessness of their cause:

"Woe to the rebellious children," says the Lord, "Who take counsel, but not of Me, and who devise plans, but not of My Spirit, that they may add sin to sin; who walk to go down to Egypt, and have not asked My advice, to strengthen themselves in the strength of Pharaoh, and to trust in the shadow of [FRANCHISES, and the dependency they create. See Form #05.040] Egypt! Therefore the strength [SUPERNATURAL powers] of Pharaoh shall be your shame, and trust in the shadow of Egypt shall be your humiliation ...

Now go, write it before them on a tablet, and note it on a scroll, that it may be for time to come, forever and ever: that this is a rebellious people, lying children, children who will not hear [and learn, and obey] the law of the Lord; who say to the seers [economists], "Do not see," and to the prophets [franchise judges], "Do not prophesy to us right things' Speak to us smooth [politically correct] things, prophesy deceits. Get out of the way, turn aside from the path, cause the Holy One of Israel to cease from before us."

Therefore thus says the Holy One of Israel:

"Because you despise this word [God's law], and trust in oppression and perversity, and rely on them, therefore this iniquity shall be to you like a breach ready to fall, a bulge in a high wall, whose breaking comes suddenly [economic collapse], in an instant. And He shall break it like the breaking of the potter's vessel, which is broken in pieces; He shall not spare. So there shall not be found among its fragments a shard to take fire from the hearth, or to take water from the cistern."

[Isaiah 30, Bible, NKJV]

 God's warning to Atheists who call on His name at the eleventh hour, after they have become a prisoner to their foolish choice:

"Then they will call on me, but I will not answer;
They will seek me diligently, but they will not find me.

Because they hated knowledge [were LEGALLY IGNORANT of God's Laws]

And did not choose the fear of the LORD,

They would have none of my counsel

And despised my every rebuke.

Therefore they shall eat the fruit of their own way,

And be filled to the full with their own fancies.

For the turning away of the simple will slay them, And the complacency of fools will destroy them; But whoever listens to me will dwell safely, And will be secure, without fear of evil."

[Prov. 1:28-33, Bible, NKJV]

Video

Stefan Molyneux admits that the rejection of baby boomers of religion left them essentially "lawless" and they made no effort to correct their "lawlessness". He discusses the results of "lawlessness" and insists that everyone should have at least "internal rules". Hence, even he as an atheist insists that lawlessness is bad, and yet also insists that HE is the only "source of law". This results in what he himself called "me-ism". He therefore contradicts himself.

[Laziness, Greed, Entitlement - Baby Boomers Defined;

<u>https://odysee.com/@freedomain:b/laziness-greed-entitlement-baby-boomers:8?t=0&sunset=lbrytv</u>]

2.5 All criticism and no workable solutions: WHINERS

- Without exception, every so-called "atheistic anarchist" we have observed so far are really good at:
 - COMPLAINING and WHINING about the shortcomings of people in government...WHILE
 - Refusing to CONSTRUCTIVELY suggest IN WRITING the RIGHT way to implement collective self-defense.
 - Refusing to discuss or entertain errors in their own philosophy.
 - Refusing to take responsibility for the injuries they cause others.
 In other words, using the lack of rulers and of law as a license to commit injuries and/or crime under God's laws.
 - Refusing to have RULERS, INCLUDING ONLY LAW and not MEN as the "RULER".
- Anyone can whine and complain. It takes no sense of social conscience, financial investment, commitment, or risk to do so.
- Complaining and criticizing merely alienates people and interferes with achieving the critical mass needed to effect meaningful change.

2.5 All criticism and no workable solutions: WHINERS



2.5 All criticism and no workable solutions: WHINERS

- Instead of complaining, why not:
 - Research, discuss, and offer PRACTICAL
 SOLUTIONS to the problems being complained of?
 - Gather momentum to implement change?
- We follow our own advice and spend all of our time focusing on REMEDIES and SOLUTIONS rather than PROBLEMS. So should Atheistic Anarchists.

2.6 Oversimplification of issues because of legal ignorance

- Most of the <u>cognitive dissonance and problems</u> with Atheistic Anarchism documented here are a product of legal ignorance.
- Legal ignorance on the part of the average citizen is the source of most of the government corruption complained of by Atheistic Anarchists.
- Legal ignorance and the political apathy that protects and perpetuates it:
 - Leads to over-simplification and over-emotionalization of the issues, fuzzy thinking, and trivialization of the political process.
 - Injures the <u>equal rights</u> of other people with our own actions that violate the law out of error or mistake.
 - Facilitates the <u>abuse of law and lawlessness</u> with the sanction of a STUPID electorate to compel or mandate good rather than its only proper role, which is providing remedies for injuries AFTER they occur.
 - Leads to destruction and destabilization of governments. The present government will soon drown itself in a pile of debt that will lead to its own destruction because of an <u>illegal and</u> unconstitutional money system.

2.6 Oversimplification of issues because of legal ignorance

- Instead of educating people about the law and showing them <u>legal remedies and options</u>, Atheistic Anarchists unfortunately:
 - Enable and sometimes encourage people to remain legally ignorant.
 - Operate only in the political realm while being unable to suggest or implement the <u>LEGAL reforms needed to fix</u> the very problems they so frequently complain about. We think it ought to be a crime to register to vote without a basic legal education and proof of competency in law.
 - Have a negative rather than positive focus that eventually alienates ANYONE and EVERYONE. It also leads to political disinterest and apathy.
 - Indirectly encourage violence, because law is intended as the ONLY peaceful traditional method to effect a remedy for injuries WITHOUT physical violence.

2.7 Rejecting the use of organized groups for selfdefense and ONLY CRIMINAL enforcement

- Atheistic Anarchists claim that it is wrong to organize a group that can institute violence against others, no matter WHAT THE motive.
- We disagree.
- To denigrate any attempt to organize a collective group of people for SELF defense against external violence or aggression conflicts with:
 - The natural right of self-defense.
 - The natural right to associate for the purposes of selfdefense.
 - The freedom to speak and define the meaning of their speech. What if we call this group "government"? Does the name "government" suddenly make the group ILLEGITIMATE if its ONLY purpose is that of VIOLENT opposition to VIOLENT aggression?

2.7 Rejecting the use of organized groups for selfdefense and ONLY CRIMINAL enforcement

What does the PRESENT system call this "group"?

- Its called the POLICE.
- The powers it implements are called "police powers".

In fact:

 We allege that the CIVIL statutory law is unnecessary and irrelevant to PRIVATE humans. See:

Why Civil Statutory Law is Law for Government and not Private Persons, Form #05.037; http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- People can lawfully be protected by a government that implements ONLY CRIMINAL enforcement and has no CIVIL statutory enforcement authority over any "citizen" or "resident".
- There is nothing wrong with having either a centralized police called "government" or individual private police agencies.
- Instead, the <u>common law</u> and <u>absolute equality of</u> <u>ALL under that law</u>:
 - Can be the SOLE means of remedy for CIVIL injuries AFTER they occur.
 - Will not permit the government to grow too large, because it cannot extract property or revenues using CIVIL statutory law or penalties. All revenues must be acquired by VOLUNTARY contract that is revocable in the case of each individual HUMAN at the request of that HUMAN.

 Christians believe that we are all eternally and perpetually accountable, responsible, and liable for obeying God's laws. See:

<u>The Unlimited Liability Universe</u>, Family Guardian Fellowship http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Spirituality/Articles/UnlimitedLiabilityUniverse.htm

- Even Christians will be judged by God at the final judgment. Rev. 20.
- Christians cannot repeal or expand or modify God's laws. Therefore, these laws:
 - Are not subject to political whims.
 - Make for a STABLE system of morality that can be transmitted through generations.
 - Cannot become corrupted by the vote of a covetous majority.

Atheistic Anarchy results in:

- Complete and total lack of accountability or responsibility toward anyone under any DEFINED or UNCHANGING source of law. As we said earlier, LACK OF ACCOUNTABILITY is the source of all corruption in government.
- Chaos and constantly changing laws that are impossible to follow, no matter how well intentioned they may be.
- A hazard to private rights and fear on the part of the populace because of rampant "lawlessness".

- RELIGIOUS Anarchists view of SECULAR or ATHEISTIC Anarchists:
 - They are synonymous with LAWLESSNESS because they refuse to allow "LAW" to "RULE" them.
 - "Lawlessness" simply means LACK of accountability or responsibility for the AGRESSIONS of others against you.
 - They insist on a fallible and corrupted HUMAN as their source of law, in the case of SECULAR Atheists. This leads to idolatry towards governments or civil rulers.
 - Satan was the ultimate "lawless one". 2 Thess. 2:5-12.
 - For Christian Anarchists, being either a SECULAR Anarchist or an ATHEISTIC Anarchist is synonymous with being "lawless" under <u>GOD'S LAWS</u> and therefore Satanic.

 The above concerns are why Atheistic Anarchists alienate the majority of Americans, who are religious, and will therefore never have their political support.

Part 3: What Anarchists are REALLY fighting and don't realize it

3.1 Enforcement of CIVIL franchises within constitutional states

- Nearly every usurpation of the present government complained of by Atheistic Anarchists stems from:
 - Offering or enforcing <u>civil franchises</u> within constitutional states of the Union.
 - Turning the alienation of natural rights that are supposed to be inalienable into a profitable business, and thus to incentivize government to do the OPPOSITE purpose for which they were created, which is to protect PRIVATE rights. That protection BEGINS with ensuring that such rights NEVER are converted to PUBLIC rights or PRIVILEGES.
 - The kidnapping and identity theft facilitated by the choice of law provisions within franchises.
 - A failure to recognize or protect PRIVATE rights or PRIVATE property, and thus indirectly to make ALL property
 GOVERNMENT property that you need permission or "license" from the government to use or possess.

3.1 Enforcement of CIVIL franchises within constitutional states

- Examples of <u>franchises</u>:
 - Property tax.
 - Voter registration
 - Statutory "citizen", "resident" under the civil code.
 - "Person" or "individual" under any civil enactment.
 - "Driver" under the vehicle code.
 - "Spouse" under the family code.
 - "Taxpayer" under the tax code.
 - <u>"Benefit recipient" or "person" under the Social Security Act.</u>
- Every attempt to offer or enforce <u>civil franchises</u> within a constitutional state represents:
 - A violation of the very purpose of establishing government, which is to protect PRIVATE rights and PRIVATE property.
 - An invasion within the meaning of <u>Article 4, Section 4 of the Constitution</u>, which is the ONLY mandate in the Constitution.
 - A breach of fiduciary duty of public officers to protect PRIVATE property.
 - An unlawful conversion of PRIVATE property to PUBLIC property in violation of the <u>Fifth Amendment</u>.

3.2 Misrepresenting CIVIL franchises as "law" rather than a voluntary contract or agreement

- "Law" in a classical sense does NOT require our consent.
- Civil franchises are technically not "law" but a compact.

Municipal law, thus understood, is properly defined to be "a rule of civil conduct prescribed by the supreme power in a state, commanding what is right and prohibiting what is wrong."

[...]

It is also called a rule to distinguish it from a compact or agreement; for a compact is a promise proceeding from us, law is a command directed to us. The language of a compact is, "I will, or will not, do this"; that of a law is, "thou shalt, or shalt not, do it." It is true there is an obligation which a compact carries with it, equal in point of conscience to that of a law; but then the original of the obligation is different. In compacts we ourselves determine and promise what shall be done, before we are obliged to do it; in laws. we are obliged to act without ourselves determining or promising anything at all. Upon these accounts law is defined to be "a rule."

[Readings on the History and System of the Common Law, Second Edition, 1925, Roscoe Pound, p. 4]

3.2 Misrepresenting CIVIL franchises as "law" rather than a voluntary contract or agreement

- <u>Civil franchises</u> are unconstitutionally and illegally:
 - Being MISREPRESENTED by politicians as "law".
 - Being MISREPRESENTED as mandatory for everyone, rather than ONLY public servants in the government, who are their only lawful audience.
 - Being enforced against those who did not expressly consent.
 This constitutes a tort.
 - Being offered or enforced in places outside the TERRITORY of the national government in legislatively foreign states. This represents international commercial terrorism.
 - Being protected with sovereign immunity so that the illegal enforcement cannot be stopped as the constitution mandates.
- THESE are the real problems that Atheistic Anarchists should be fighting, rather than ALL law or ALL government. They are dissipating their valuable political energy and clout fighting a ghost that isn't the real problem, which hurts us all by impeding progress.

3.3 Confusing CIVIL penalties within franchises with CRIMINAL enforcement

- Since Atheistic Anarchists lack legal education or training, they:
 - Needlessly blur CIVIL and CRIMINAL law into one big blob and object to it ALL.
 - Fail to see how PENAL PROVISIONS of <u>CIVIL FRANCHISES</u> are being MISREPRESENTED as CRIMINAL law. See <u>Form #05.030</u>, <u>Section 15</u> for details.
 - Falsely believe that they are subject to PENAL provisions of <u>CIVIL</u> <u>franchises</u> that do not apply to them, and thus, make themselves into convenient targets for "selective enforcement" of civil franchises as a means of political retribution by their government opponents.
- This overly simplistic approach:
 - Jeopardizes and invalidates the right of SELF defense of PRIVATE property implemented by:
 - » The CRIMINAL law.
 - The police powers.
 - » The military.
 - Undermines Atheistic Anarchists as a credible force for social change. No one is going to risk losing the protection of their private property by police to appease legally ignorant kooks who victimized themselves with their own legal ignorance as described here.

3.4 Abuses of franchises to connect people to OTHER franchises or franchises they are not engaged in

- The other problem with <u>civil franchises</u> is to:
 - Abuse one <u>franchise</u> you <u>consent</u> to as an means to compel you to sign up for EVERY OTHER <u>franchise</u>. This turns government in its entirely essentially into "<u>THE MATRIX</u>".
 - Unconstitutionally presume you are a franchisee.
 - Treat you as a <u>franchisee</u> without having to meet the burden of proof as the moving party that you <u>EXPRESSLY CONSENTED</u> IN WRITING to accept the duties of a <u>franchisee</u>.

3.4 Abuses of franchises to connect people to OTHER franchises or franchises they are not engaged in

Examples of abuse:

- When you get a <u>driver license</u>, they create the <u>FALSE and unconstitutional presumption</u> that you consent to become a <u>resident and domiciliary</u> subject to ALL the CIVIL the laws of the state, even though:
 - » You don't consent.
 - » You don't WANT to consent.
 - » It isn't necessary to have a <u>domicile</u> in order to be a safe driver.
 - » Any attempt to compel you to apply for or use a license is a THEFT under the <u>Fifth Amendment</u>.
- When you <u>consent</u> to be a "taxpayer" <u>franchisee</u>, they use the <u>SSN or TIN</u> to enforce OTHER <u>franchises</u> such as child support that have nothing to do with taxes.

3.4 Abuses of franchises to connect people to OTHER franchises or franchises they are not engaged in

- When you submit a government application, they refuse service unless you [USUALLY ILLEGALLY] disclose an SSN or TIN that indirectly compels you to become a "taxpayer" and to have "reportable income". This is THEFT and SLAVERY that undermines your freedom of choice and autonomy.
- They enforce <u>franchises</u> against those not engaged in the regulated activity. For instance, they <u>unconstitutionally PRESUME</u> you are driver even though:
 - You aren't using the public roadways for hire AND.
 - » They have not and cannot meet the <u>burden of proving</u> otherwise.

- It is a fact that a government that claims the right to do ANY of the following is an anarchist government:
 - 1. Are superior in any way to the people they govern UNDER THE LAW.
 - 2. Are not directly accountable to the people or the law. They prohibit the PEOPLE from criminally prosecuting their own crimes, reserving the right to prosecute to their own fellow criminals. Who polices the police? THE CRIMINALS.
 - 3. Enact laws that exempt themselves. This is a violation of the Constitutional requirement for equal protection and equal treatment and constitutes an unconstitutional Title of Nobility in violation of Article 1, Section 9, Clause 8 of the United States Constitution.
 - 4. Only enforce the law against others and NOT themselves, as a way to protect their own criminal activities by persecuting dissidents. This is called "selective enforcement". In the legal field it is also called "professional courtesy". Never kill the goose that lays the STOLEN golden eggs.
 - 5. Break the laws with impunity. This happens most frequently when corrupt people in government engage in "selective enforcement", whereby they refuse to prosecute or interfere with the prosecution of anyone in government. The Department of Justice (D.O.J.) or the District Attorney are the most frequent perpetrators of this type of crime.
 - 6. Are able to choose which laws they want to be subject to, and thus refuse to enforce laws against themselves. The most frequent method for this type of abuse is to assert sovereign, official, or judicial immunity as a defense in order to protect the wrongdoers in government when they are acting outside their delegated authority, or outside what the definitions in the statutes EXPRESSLY allow.
 - 7. Impute to themselves more rights or methods of acquiring rights than the people themselves have. In other words, who are the object of PAGAN IDOL WORSHIP because they possess "supernatural" powers. By "supernatural", we mean that which is superior to the "natural", which is ordinary human beings.

- 8. Claim and protect their own sovereign immunity, but refuse to recognize the same EQUAL immunity of the people from whom that power was delegated to begin with. Hypocrites.
- 9. Abuse sovereign immunity to exclude either the government or anyone working in the government from being subject to the laws they pass to regulate everyone ELSE'S behavior. In other words, they can choose WHEN they want to be a statutory "person" who is subject, and when they aren't. Anyone who has this kind of choice will ALWAYS corruptly exclude themselves and include everyone else, and thereby enforce and implement an unconstitutional "Title of Nobility" towards themself. On this subject, the U.S. Supreme Court has held the following:

"No man in this country [including legislators of the government as a legal person] is so high that he is above the law. No officer of the law may set that law at defiance with impunity. All the officers of the government, from the highest to the lowest, are creatures of the law and are bound to obey it. It is the only supreme power in our system of government, and every man who by accepting office participates in its functions is only the more strongly bound to submit to that supremacy, and to observe the limitations which it imposes upon the exercise of the authority which it gives," 106 U.S., at 220. "Shall it be said... that the courts cannot give remedy when the Citizen has been deprived of his property by force, his estate seized and converted to the use of the government without any lawful authority, without any process of law, and without any compensation, because the president has ordered it and his officers are in possession? If such be the law of this country, it sanctions a tyranny which has no existence in the monarchies of Europe, nor in any other government which has a just claim to well-regulated liberty and the protection of personal rights," 106 U.S., at 220, 221.

[United States v. Lee, 106 U.S. 196, 1 S. Ct. 240 (1882)]

- 10. Have a monopoly on anything, INCLUDING "protection", and who turn that monopoly into a mechanism to force EVERYONE illegally to be treated as uncompensated public officers in exchange for the "privilege" of being able to even exist or earn a living to support oneself.
- 11. Can tax and spend any amount or percentage of the people's earnings over the OBJECTIONS of the people.
- 12. Can print, meaning illegally counterfeit, as much money as they want to fund their criminal enterprise, and thus to be completely free from accountability to the people.
- 13. Deceive and/or lie to the public with impunity by telling you that you can't trust anything they say, but force YOU to sign everything under penalty of perjury when you want to talk to them. 26 U.S.C. §6065.

[<u>Policy Document: Rebutted False Arguments About Sovereignty</u>, Form #08.018, Section 5.5 SOURCE: http://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgSovereignty.pdf]

- What Atheistic Anarchists are fighting is GOVERNMENT ANARCHY as described above.
- They instead need to keep the focus on:
 - THIS ANARCHY.
 - The fact that they DO advocate CRIMINAL "law" and police powers...BUT....dismiss the CIVIL law as applicable to them and only applicable to public officers.
 - The fact that they <u>don't consent</u> to become a public officer in the government because God forbids it. <u>Judges 2:1-4</u>. <u>Exodus 23:32-33</u>. How can the government rationally argue with this approach, if it is a "religious practice" that can't lawfully be interfered with under the <u>First Amendment</u>? IMPOSSIBLE!
- If they did this, then technically:
 - They could NOT be called "anarchists" toward ALL law.
 - Would not appear as elitists or "above the law".
 - Would be advocates with the government for the "Rule of Law".
 - Would be insisting on the <u>same equality and accountability of</u> those in government under A LAW as they consent to have.

- The above approach would force their statist opponents to instead talk about:
 - How the CIVIL laws derived the "force of law" against them. The <u>Declaration of Independence</u> says that all just powers derive from the <u>CONSENT of the governed</u>.

"Consensus facit legem.

Consent makes the law. A contract is a law between the parties, which can acquire force only by consent."

[Bouvier's Maxims of Law, 1856;

SOURCE:

http://famguardian.org/Publications/BouvierMaximsOfLaw/BouviersMaxims.htm]

- How the civil laws can be enforced WITHOUT consent.
 The <u>Declaration of Independence</u> says that would be "unjust".
- How the civil laws can lawfully be enforced against OTHER than <u>public officers</u> in the government who <u>LAWFULLY consented to BECOME public officers</u>. See:

Why Statutory Civil Law is Law for Government and Not Private Persons, Form #05.037

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

Video

President Obama insists on bypassing Congress and replacing them with executive orders, and not enforcing their laws. Thus, he becomes the "source of law", the God of the society, and an anarchist.

[Government anarchy video segment; Fox News, 3/13/2014 at 0800 and 2/14/2014]

Part 4: What we would fix in their conflicted approach

4.1 Embrace rather than reject religion

- Embrace the right to believe in whatever you believe in. This
 is the foundation of the First Amendment.
- It's political suicide to oppose an 80% majority of the population who believe in God.
- The fact that people don't go to church doesn't mean they don't believe in God.
- So long as religion doesn't do any of the following to those who are not members of the religion, it doesn't matter what they believe or think:
 - Impose civil duties.
 - Criminalize non-participation.
 - Discriminate based on beliefs.
- Atheistic Anarchists would do well to:
 - Not oppose or denigrate any specific religion.
 - Not be atheists.
 - Abandon an atheist philosophy in place of at least agnosticism or else you appear biased against religious people.

Otherwise, Atheistic Anarchists are unknowingly practicing a religion based on an unproven <u>presumption</u> that God does not exist.

- ABSOLUTE EQUALITY between the GOVERNED and the GOVERNORS is the foundation of your freedom.
- Collectivism in which the collective has "supernatural" rights ABOVE the NATURAL humans who make it up is the foundation of a state sponsored religion.
- Collectivism and "supernatural powers" are only possible if:
 - The government owns or controls ALL property.
 - All property is <u>PRESUMED</u> to be PUBLIC property.
 - Everything is loaned to you with conditions. Their ownership is the basis to CREATE the franchise conditions that they call "law".

A GOVERNMENT OF DELEGATED POWERS is the remedy for this kind of SATANIC collectivism.

> "The Government of the United States is one of delegated powers alone. Its authority is defined and limited by the Constitution. All powers not granted to it by that instrument are reserved to the States or the people."

[United States v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875)]

The collective called "We the People" cannot possess any more rights than the HUMAN INDIVIDUALS that make it up under the common law.

"Derivativa potestas non potest esse major primitive.

The power which is derived cannot be greater than that from which it is derived."

[Bouvier's Law Dictionary Unabridged, 8th Edition, pg. 2131]

"Quod per me non possum, nec per alium...

What I cannot do in person, I cannot do through the agency of another." [Bouvier's Law Dictionary Unabridged, 8th Edition, pg. 2159]

13MAR2014

- Therefore, the remedy to restore accountability to government, eliminate collectivist religion, and the corruption it facilitates is:
 - A government of delegated powers.
 - UNALIENABLE, PERSONAL, PRIVATE rights and PRIVATE property which can be withheld from a government that is abusive.

"If money is wanted by Rulers who have in any manner oppressed the People, [the People] may retain [their money] until their grievances are redressed, and thus peaceably procure relief, without trusting to despised petitions or disturbing the public tranquility."

[Journals of the Continental Congress, Wednesday, October 26, 1774]

 Financial accountability on the part of government so that the people can peacefully STOP funding a government that refuses to render what they regard IN NET and IN TOTAL as a "benefit". The founders allowed for this but the courts CORRUPTLY interfere with this remedy:

"Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it, and to institute new government, laying its foundation on such principles, and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness."

[Declaration of Independence, Thomas Jefferson, 776. ME 1:29, Papers 1:429]

- It is a maxim of law that the exercise of RIGHTS granted in the founding documents such as the above CANNOT be turned into a CRIME and that doing so is unconstitutional.
- Contrary to what <u>G. Edward Griffin</u> says, "COLLECTIVISM" by itself is NOT the problem, but RATHER:
 - A collective that is SUPERIOR or UNEQUAL in any way under the <u>CIVIL statutory laws</u> to those INDIVIDUAL HUMANS governed.
 - A collective that has more rights, powers, or privileges under CIVIL OR CRIMINAL laws than those INDIVIDUALS making up the collective.

Video

G. Edward Griffin on COLLECTIVISM.

[Why Communism, Socialism, and Fascism are the Same;

SOURCE: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=005cahlsSXE]

A GOVERNMENT OF DELEGATED POWERS is IMPOSSIBLE without:

- Each human having INDIVIDUAL sovereignty themselves.
- Each human having constitutional and natural PRIVATE rights that come from God and not Caesar. That way they can't be TAKEN away.
- The recognition by the state of PRIVATE property both in the courts and in the law. PRIVATE property is property the GOVERNMENT has no control over and which the owner can use instead to CONTROL THE GOVERNMENT.
- The inviolability and inalienability of all PRIVATE rights in relation to a real de jure government. In other words, YOU AREN'T ALLOWED to give up PRIVATE rights to a government.

 Personal sovereignty and the personal responsibility that makes that sovereignty possible.

"A person dependent on somebody else for everything from potatoes to opinions may declare that he is a free [SOVEREIGN] man, and his government may issue a certificate granting him his freedom, but he will not be free. ... How can he be free if he can do nothing for himself? ... Men are free precisely to the extent that they are equal to their own needs. The most able are the most free."

[Wendell Berry, "Discipline and Hope" in A Continuous Harmony (1972), p. 124]

Videos

John Birch Society (JBS) video on the importance of PRIVATE rights and PRIVATE property.

[Overview of America,

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-MzxC8Mqupw]

G. Edward Griffin on PRIVATE PROPERTY.

[Why Communism, Socialism, and Fascism are the Same;

SOURCE: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=005cahlsSXE]

4.3 Recognize the absolute separation between PUBLIC and PRIVATE

- Governments are founded ONLY to protect PRIVATE RIGHTS.
- The first step in protecting PRIVATE rights is to prevent them from being knowingly or unknowingly converted to PUBLIC rights or PUBLIC property without the express written <u>CONSENT</u> of the original PRIVATE owner.
- Per the Declaration of Independence, all enforcement powers not DIRECTLY tied to <u>CONSENT</u> are UNJUST.
- Every attempt to CIVILLY enforce or take PRIVATE property by the government should be approached as:
 - A mandate for the government to meet the burden of proof that you <u>EXPRESSLY CONSENTED</u> IN WRITING to convert said property to a PUBLIC use or GOVERNMENT property that could then be taken or regulated. In other words, that you WAIVED SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY.
 - A mandate to prove that you consented to donate the property to a public use, public purpose, and/or public office BEFORE it could be subject to government jurisdiction.
 - A mandate to prove that the property subject to penalty or forfeiture as government property BEFORE it was taken.
 - A mandate to prove that if they impose duties upon you, that you lawfully occupied a public office BEFORE those duties activated or acquired the "force of law". Otherwise we are dealing with involuntary servitude.

4.3 Recognize the absolute separation between PUBLIC and PRIVATE

- How far do you think an executive agency could go in civil enforcement if they had the burden to prove to a jury of NON-FRANCHISEES that you <u>CONSENTED</u> in writing BEFORE they could commence said enforcement?
- For a complete presentation on the mandatory legal separation between public and private, see:

<u>Separation Between Public and Private</u>, Form #12.025

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

4.3 Recognize the absolute separation between PUBLIC and PRIVATE

- For more details on PUBLIC v. PRIVATE property, see:
 - Government Franchises Course, Form #12.012
 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
 - Why Domicile and Becoming a "Taxpayer" Require Your Consent, Form #05.002

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises, Form #05.030 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- Why Civil Statutory Law is Law for Government and not Private Persons,
 Form #05.037

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 Why Your Government is a Thief or You are a Public Officer for Income Tax Purposes, Form #05.008

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

<u>Corporatization and Privatization of the Government</u>, Form #05.024
 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

4.4 Recognize the two types of law: CIVIL and CRIMINAL

- Nearly all the corruption in government that Atheistic Anarchists complain about:
 - Is implemented using <u>CIVIL franchises</u>.
 - Could not exist without the money flow, inequality, and corruption facilitated by <u>CIVIL franchises</u>.
 - Would not be affected in the least by abolishing the CRIMINAL laws if the CIVIL laws remained.
- We think its self-defeating for Atheistic Anarchists to advocate that we should have no CRIMINAL laws. This implies that:
 - We should have no police force or police powers.
 - We cannot delegate our right of self-defense to third parties.
 - We will have no defense from what they call the "violent aggression of others".

4.5 Oppose ALL civil franchise enforcement within states of the Union

- The main focus of attention for Atheistic Anarchist or freedom lovers in general should be to:
 - Terminate eligibility for all government franchises.
 - Prosecute offering or enforcing franchises as a violation of the separation of powers doctrine and an "invasion" within the meaning of <u>Constitution Article 4, Section 4</u>.
 - Prosecute franchise enforcement as an act of <u>international</u> terrorism.
 - Prosecute the franchise enforcers in state court under:
 - » Bill of rights.
 - » Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act, 28 U.S.C. Chapter 97.
 - » Longarm statutes in their state.
 - Approach all attempts to enforce as a waiver of <u>sovereign</u> <u>immunity</u> and a tort.
 - Approach all attempts to offer <u>franchises</u> as a criminal bribe to procure the "benefits" of a public office in the U.S. government.
 - Challenge the abuse of choice of law rules by corrupt judges as a means to violate the <u>separation of powers</u>.

4.6 Insist on evidence of INFORMED WRITTEN CONSENT in all interactions with government

- When a stranger approaches you attempting to enforce an alleged obligation, the first thing you do is ask them to prove you <u>consented</u> to the obligation.
- When you sue government civilly, they do they same thing by:
 - Asserting "sovereign immunity".
 - Demanding written consent in the form of a civil statute to WAIVE sovereign immunity.
 - Dismiss the case if the <u>written consent</u> cannot be produced.
- We need to do the same thing.
 - The PEOPLE as individuals are the sovereigns in our system of government.
 - All authority the government possesses was DELEGATED FROM these people.
 - You can't delegate what you don't have.
 - Therefore, YOU must have <u>sovereign immunity</u> as well.
 - If you DON'T have <u>sovereign immunity</u> and the government does, EVERY litigation in court is nothing but a religious exercise in which YOU are the worshipper and they are the worshipped, who in turn have "superior" or "supernatural" powers and therefore are "like a god".

 We point out in the following that <u>ABSOLUTE</u> <u>EQUALITY</u> under the law is the foundation of ALL YOUR FREEDOM:

<u>Foundations of Freedom Course, Video 1: Introduction,</u> Form #12.021

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P3ggFibd5hk

 As <u>Thomas Jefferson</u> said in the <u>Declaration of</u> <u>Independence</u>, you aren't FREE until you are SEPARATE, DIVORCED FROM THE GOVERNMENT, and EQUAL in every respect to government:

"When in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to <u>dissolve the political bands</u> [divorce the government] which have connected them with another, <u>and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them,"</u>

[Declaration of Independence, 1776]

- We believe that a corrupted government propaganda machine has gotten too much mileage out of criticizing Atheistic Anarchists by portraying them as "elitists" who are "above the law".
- We think that staying focused on the following goals makes the accusation of elitism impossible if approached properly.
 - The rule of REAL LAW WITHOUT civil or <u>franchise</u> law and ONLY the <u>common law</u>. Insist that franchise participation cannot be compelled and that they are a contract that you MUST volunteer for and have a RIGHT to not volunteer.
 - EQUALITY OF ALL.
- Absolute equality is a unifying rather than dividing force that anyone and everyone instinctively understands and can readily embrace politically. Even families understand that you can't treat your children unequally and that strife will occur if you don't.
- Emphasis on equality would expand the audience of anarchists of all kind, no matter what type of anarchist they are.

13MAR2014

• IMPORTANT!:

- The foundation of the constitution is <u>EQUALITY</u> under the law!
- You cannot become UNEQUAL under the law without your <u>EXPRESS CONSENT</u>.
- Anyone who asserts the right to "RULE" you has the burden of PROVING with evidence that you <u>EXPRESSLY</u> <u>CONSENTED</u> to become <u>UNEQUAL</u> and therefore a "SUBJECT".
- Anything that creates, promotes, or enforces <u>INEQUALITY</u> is NOT "LAW", but a VOLUTARY CONTRACT OR COMPACT.

- INEQUALITY and selling favors based on that INEQUALTITY is the main engine that corrupts politics.
- You cannot "govern" people who are equal. Hence, if everyone is equal under the civil law or common law, then CIVIL GOVERNMENT is an impossibility.
- INEQUALITY is a natural consequence of enforcing the CRIMINAL law and does NOT require consent.
 There is nothing wrong with this type of INEQUALITY and we shouldn't protest against it.
- INEQUALITY is GUARANTEED if there is either NO PRIVATE property or the government owns or controls ALL property. They can place any condition they want on the use of their property and make you a slave!

Video

G. Edward Griffin makes some powerful statements about the ABSOLUTE NECESSITY for private property as a vehicle to prevent collectivism.

[Why Communism, Socialism, and Fascism are the Same; SOURCE: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=005cahlsSXE]

4.8 Insist on the right to CIVILLY disassociate with every government and stop subsidizing it

- Current law and court rules permit people to LAWFULLY and <u>CIVILLY disassociate</u> with the government.
- That disassociation involves changing <u>your domicile</u> and your <u>corresponding civil status</u> in all government records.
- The process is perfectly lawful and results in a termination of:
 - All CIVIL obligations under <u>civil statutory laws</u>.
 - Any duty to subsidize the government we disassociate with using "taxes".
- Atheistic Anarchists advocate <u>self-ownership</u> and <u>self-government</u>, and yet fail to understand ways to ensure that it can rationally and LAWFULLY be implemented.
- We think Atheistic Anarchists could increase their following drastically if they showed people how to CIVILLY "divorce the government" and thereby end any CIVIL legal claims any government could have or enforce against them. This could and would result in the VERY FREEDOM and EQUALITY under the law that they complain they don't have.

13MAR2014

4.8 Insist on the right to CIVILLY disassociate with every government and stop subsidizing it

 If you would like to know how to do the above as a RELIGIOUS Anarchist, see:

<u>Legal Notice of Change in Domicile/Citizenship Records and Divorce from</u> the United States, Form #10.001

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

4.9 How God wants us to effect the reforms documented here

- The early Israelites went through the same kind of corruption we have now. Nehemiah 5-6.
- The cause of the corruption is documented in Nehemiah 9:26, 33-35:

"Nevertheless they were disobedient And rebelled against You, Cast Your [MORAL] law behind their backs And killed Your prophets, who testified against them

To turn them to Yourself:

And they worked great provocations.

Therefore You delivered them into the hand of their [GOVERNMENT] enemies. Who oppressed them;

[...]

13MAR2014

But we have done wickedly.

Neither our kings nor our princes [GOVERNMENT, see document #05.043],

Our priests nor our fathers [CHURCHES, see document #08.012].

Have kept Your law,

Nor heeded Your commandments and Your testimonies,

With which You testified against them.

For they have not served You in their kingdom,

Or in the many good things that You gave them,

Or in the large and rich land which You set before them:

Nor did they turn from their wicked works.

[Nehemiah 9:26, 33-35, Bible, NKJV]

4.9 How God wants us to effect the reforms documented here

- They responded by instituting reforms like the ones described by this document. Their reforms are documented in Nehemiah 9-10.
- The reforms instituted by the Israelites constituted of:

4.9 How God wants us to effect the reforms documented here

- 1. <u>LEGALLY disassociating</u> from the people around them and thereby rejecting CIVIL law just as we advocate here. The WALL they rebuilt was a symbol of that separation. This is what we advocate here.
- 2. TEACHING AND LEARNING God's Law RATHER than being lawless like the Atheistic Anarchists.
- 3. Thanking the Lord for his mercy and protection.
- 4. Crying out in shame for their sins. See: http://sedm.org/what-we-are-up-against/
- 5. Confessing and repenting of their sins UNDER God's law.
- 6. Individually signing a COVENANT, which was law and a contract, to be RESPONSIBLE and ACCOUNTABLE to God and His laws. Nehemiah 10.

4.9 How God wants us to effect the reforms documented here

 Here is how God wants us to approach these needed reforms from the example set by Nehemiah:

The People Confess Their Sins

Now on the twenty-fourth day of this month the children of Israel were assembled with fasting, in sackcloth, and with dust on their heads. Then those of Israelite lineage separated themselves from all foreigners [who recognize a different source of law]; and they stood and confessed their sins and the iniquities of their fathers. And they stood up in their place and read from the Book of the Law of the LORD their God for one-fourth of the day; and for another fourth they confessed and worshipped the LORD their God.

[...]

4.9 How God wants us to effect the reforms documented here

"Here we are, servants [PUBLIC OFFICERS of U.S. Inc!] today!

And the land that You gave to our [FOUNDING] fathers, To eat its fruit and its bounty,

Here we are, servants [<u>PUBLIC OFFICERS</u>!] in it! And it yields much increase to the kings [<u>CORRUPT</u> <u>RULERS</u>]

You have set **OVE** [ABOVE rather than EQUAL to] us [using FRANCHISES],

Because of our sins [rebellion against of God's Law];
Also they have dominion [legal authority] over our bodies
[as CIVIL "persons", which are "straw men"] and our cattle

At their pleasure [whim];

And we are in great distress.

"And because of all this,

We make a sure covenant [LAW, such as the

CONSTITUTION] and write it;

Our leaders, our Levites, and our priests seal it."

[Nehemiah 9, Bible, NKJV]

QUESTIONS FOR ATHEISTIC ANARCHISTS

- Why doesn't this path to reform SUGGESTED BY GOD HIMSELF work?
- Are you prepared to defend yourself from the VERY ADVERSE and ETERNAL consequences of REBELLING against God, if there is one, by NOT following these reforms suggested by God?
- Aren't you indirectly doing EXACTLY what Satan did by suggesting that YOUR way to reform is better than God's? That rebellion, by the way, earned Satan an ETERNAL curse.

What God Says about Atheists

The fool [ATHEIST] has said in his heart, "There is no God."
They are corrupt,
They have done abominable works,
There is none who does good.

The LORD looks down from heaven upon the children of men,

To see if there are any who understand, who seek God. They have all turned aside, They have together become corrupt; There is none who does good, No, not one.

[<u>Psalm 14:1-3</u>, Bible, NKJV]

Atheists even have a Holiday named after them:

April FOOL's Day!

http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Discrimination/Humor/DiscriminationLawsuit.htm


Part 5: Questions they can't answer without contradicting themselves and therefore LYING

Questions they can't answer

- 5.1 How can there rationally be a "ruling class" in America if the U.S. Supreme Court has identified INDIVIDUAL HUMANS as the ONLY "sovereigns" in our system of government who are all ABSOLUTELY equal to both each other AND the "government" that serves them?
- 5.2 How is the natural right of self-defense realized WITHOUT unwanted aggression against others?
- 5.3 Under what conditions is ORGANIZED COOPERATIVE aggression warranted by a GROUP rather than an SINGLE HUMAN? Is collective self defense ONE of these?
- 5.4 Why is it wrong to call a group organized EXCLUSIVELY for PERSONAL self defense AGAINST aggression "government"?
- 5.5 How can you define COLLECTIVE RULES for INDIVIDUAL self defense WITHOUT implementing a classical "government" of one kind or another?

Questions they can't answer

- 5.6 Precisely WHAT is your definition of "government" if it ISN'T an organized collective VOLUNTARY association of people whose sole goal is self defense of PRIVATE PERSONAL and NATURAL HUMAN rights? Isn't that really what the Declaration of Independence describes?
- 5.7 What if the CRIMINAL laws are the historical method of SELF DEFENSE against aggression? Are you against a government that implements ONLY CRIMINAL laws and has no CIVIL enforcement except by voluntary INDIVIDUAL DEMONSTRATED WRITTEN consent in each specific case?
- 5.8 Other than WHINING and CRITICIZING others, what is your PRECISE WRITTEN PLAN for a SPECIFIC organized COLLECTIVE VOLUNTARY group to defend itself from violent aggression by others? We have one.
- 5.9 Without God, how can you create any system based on the rule of law that does not have a fallible HUMAN ruler?
- 5.10 How can you define even CRIMINAL "law" for a society without practicing "religion" of SOME kind? Doesn't this make ATHEISM a logical impossibility?



Conclusions


- There are many things we agree with in the dogma of Atheistic Anarchists.
- We should focus on the things we agree on.
- Unity is needed to harness the Beast that is the present government.
- The present government is a Beast mainly because of lack of accountability or liability or responsibility to anyone, and especially to the people they are supposed to be protecting.
- Psychological studies have been done on all the evils that infest people and groups when there is a lack of accountability, liability, or responsibility. See:
 - The Psychology of Evil: The Lucifer Effect in Action http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1uCaAGx_dPY
 - Stanford Prison Experiment
 - http://prisonexp.org/
 - Milgram Experiment
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Milgram_experiment

Conclusions

- Reforms are only possible by mandating accountability of ALL people, not just those in government.
- Accountability is only possible with LAW.
- Any approach to implement accountability WITHOUT law of some kind will:
 - Fail.
 - Be perceived and prosecuted as criminal coercion.
- Anarchism toward ALL law, including CRIMINAL, CIVIL, and even RELIGIOUS law, cannot and will not enable the needed reforms.

Conclusions

- The smallest subset of law needed to implement the needed reforms is to:
 - Forbid "citizens" or "residents" from being treated as public officers.
 - Reemphasize equity and the common law so that <u>inequality</u> is impossible between the people and their government.
 - Do all regulation and enforcement through ONLY CRIMINAL laws.
 - Allow CIVIL laws to be enforced ONLY against public officers in the government.
 - Forbid and prosecute the offering or enforcement of <u>CIVIL</u> <u>franchises</u> within constitutional states or to anyone OTHER than those who are not ALREADY public officers.
 - Forbid the use of <u>franchises</u> to create any NEW public offices other than those who are lawfully elected or appointed.
- If you have a better way to ensure accountability that we haven't already addressed or recognized in this presentation, we welcome your thoughts so that this presentation can be continually improved and reach the largest audience.



How to respond to this presentation

Please DON'T:

- Be emotional. Emotions are the main vehicle by which propaganda and fallacies can be abused to make you believe things that simply aren't true because you feel strongly about them. Psychologists call this "cortical bypass".
- Get upset because you can't stand the fact that you didn't invent or create what was here.
- Be prideful or defensive. Pride separates and alienates people and causes us never to have the critical mass we need to effect meaningful change.
- WHINE or COMPLAIN. We don't need more whiners or complainers, but more HELP and more UNITY.
- Steal or hijack our ideas so you can claim the credit, but rather expand the audience for them by sharing a link to them with your friends.
- Admit your mistakes or misconceptions if you made any.
- If we made errors and mistakes in this presentation, rationally and unemotionally provide legally admissible evidence PROVING they were erroneous. Post them in:

SEDM Forums, Section 9.4: Errata

http://sedm.org/forums/forum/9-sedm-ministry-members-only/94-errata-reports/

How to respond to this presentation

- Why do we have this section? Because:
 - Freedom lovers, of whom Anarchistic Atheists are a subset, are often arrogant, abrasive, opinionated jackasses.
 - That arrogance is the very reason why their audience of followers is so small.
 - The greatest sin in the bible is PRIDE. We wouldn't expect an atheist to know that, which is why they have such big egos to begin with.

```
"By pride comes nothing but strife. But with the well-advised is wisdom." [Prov. 13:10, Bible]
```

"Pride goes before destruction, and a haughty spirit before a fall. 19. Better to be of a humble spirit with the lowly, than to divide the spoil with the proud."

```
[<u>Prov. 16:18-19</u>, Bible]
```

"A man's pride will bring him low, but the humble in spirit will retain honor." [Prov. 29:23, Bible]

"For all that is in the world—the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life—is not of the Father but is of the world."

```
[1 John 2:16, Bible]
```

"These six things the Lord hates, yes, seven are an abomination to Him: 17. A proud look, a lying tongue, hands that shed innocent blood, 18. a heart that devises wicked plans, feet that are swift in running to evil, 19. a false witness who speaks lies, and one who sows discord among brethren."

[Prov 6:16-19, Bible]

Remedies

Jesus is an Anarchist

http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Spirituality/ChurchvState/JesusAnarchist.htm

 <u>Foundations of Freedom</u>, Form #12.021 – video course on true freedom

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P3ggFibd5hk

 <u>Liberty University</u> – complete curricula to learn about the law that the Atheistic Anarchists don't want to talk about

http://sedm.org/LibertyU/LibertyU.htm

• <u>Path to Freedom</u>, Form #09.015 – checklist on how to be truly free both legally and spiritually. FREE!

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

<u>Legal Notice of Change in Domicile/Citizenship</u>
 <u>Records and Divorce from the United States</u>, Form
 #10.001 – how to civilly divorce the government

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

Further Information

 Your Irresponsible, Lawless, Anarchist Beast Government, Form #05.054-why GOVERNMENT is the main anarchist force in our modern society

https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/YourIrresponsibleLawlessGov.pdf

 <u>Uncovering Freedom</u>-Tim Keller. Why freedom is not the absence of constraints or law, but rather finding the LIBERATING constraints. Excellent

http://sedm.org/uncovering-freedom-tim-keller/

- <u>Collectivism and How to Resist It Course</u>, Form #12.024 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- Government Franchises Course, Form #12.012
 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- Why Domicile and Becoming a "Taxpayer" Require Your Consent, Form #05.002

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

- Government Instituted Slavery Using Franchises, Form #05.030 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm
- <u>Proof That There is a "Straw Man"</u>, Form #05.042
 http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

Further Information

 Why Civil Statutory Law is Law for Government and not Private Persons, Form #05.037

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 Why Your Government is a Thief or You are a Public Officer for Income Tax Purposes, Form #05.008

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

 Corporatization and Privatization of the Government, Form #05.024

http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm

Stefan Molyneux Apologizes

- In fairness, within a year after this presentation was posted,
 Stefan Molyneux, who is featured in this presentation
 APOLOGIZED for his attitude towards Christians.
- Below are his apologies:
 - Why I Was Wrong About Atheism https://odysee.com/@freedomain:b/why-i-was-wrong-aboutatheism:f?t=0&sunset=lbrytv
 - An Atheist Apologizes To Christians
 https://cdn.freedomainradio.com/FDR_2927_Call_In_Show_04_Mar_2015.
 mp3
- In addition, he also interviewed someone about the contributions of Christianity to wisdom and philosophy and was very appreciative of its contributions:
 - The Catholic Church Built Western Civilization-Duke Pesta and Stefan Molyneux
 https://odysee.com/@freedomain:b/the-catholic-church-built-western:6?t=0&sunset=lbrytv
 - What Jesus Christ Said-Duke Pesta and Stefan Molyneux https://odysee.com/@freedomain:b/what-jesus-christ-said-duke-pesta-and:9?t=0&sunset=lbrytv