

Email: _____

Cert. Mail #

Subject: Cancellation of Ticket and Termination of all Patronage Because of Illegal Activities by TSA

Dear Sir/Maam,

This is not a letter of complaint. However, I felt encouraged, after so long an association with your airline, and its recent improvements in service, not to merely drop off the radar screen without letting you know why and how these falsely and deceptively named ‘security’ measures have affected at least one of your customers...and possibly other businesses which rely on you from a distance to bring their customers to them.

This is mandated by recent immoral and medically dangerous procedures imposed on the traveling public by the TSA (Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration).

These non-“security” procedures were introduced in recent days to ‘protect’ me and your aircraft from unknown ‘terrorists’ whose whereabouts and existence have not been established by any credible evidence or threat.

By refusing to let people fly who do not submit to these procedures interferes in a passenger’s contract with an airline.

And it is long-established by the federal Constitution and Supreme Court rulings that no law, by a state or the federal government, can be passed to interfere in the obligations of contracts. To wit...

Independent of these views, there are many considerations which lead to the conclusion that the power to impair contracts by direct action to that end, does not exist with the general [federal] government. [Sinking Fund Cases, 99 U.S. 700 (1878)] (Emphasis added).

Accordingly, it would not be a viable response to maintain that you are being forced by the federal government to approve these new procedures that interfere in contractual obligations.

You are as aware, as I always was before what has come to be called “9/11” (the catchall phrase used to justify questionable government policies in the name of ‘security’), that it is not possible to secure an aircraft from those who wish to harm it.

Anyone with two eyes is aware of the routine risks to aircraft as the following demonstrate...

1. There is an un-xrayed, un-sexually molested cleaning crew that has easy access to every one of your aircraft.
2. There are people un-xrayed, un-sexually molested driving open carts of suitcases, of unknown origin to be loaded onto your aircraft. These people crawl into the belly of the aircraft to load these items and may do whatever else they wish while hidden from view.
3. Your flight attendants routinely open galley doors to complete strangers who load metal canisters of unproven contents and of unproven origin onto your aircraft.

4. There are un-xrayed, un-sexually molested people who work on the technical and mechanical parts of your aircraft. These people, even without a malevolent purpose, can simply make mistakes with no malice aforethought, just human error creating a risk.

How, then can photographing your contracted customers in the nude or fondling their breasts and genitals, and those of their children, prevent these people from compromising aircraft by design or mistake and justify the impairment of contractual obligations?

I don't list these things to bring your attention to matters about which you are thoroughly aware but only to draw your attention to the plain fact that people with a potentially malign purpose of compromising an aircraft can have easy access to carry out their plan. And we routinely accept such ordinary risks.

So, from the above points it cannot be concluded by anyone, who has even minimal reasoning capacity, that an aircraft is made safe to fly by subjecting your contracted customers to sexual touching or bombardment with potentially harmful medical procedures prescribed by a non-physician, administered by non-xray technicians and for no medical purpose.

These arbitrary procedures, when your customers refuse to submit to them, have the additional harmful effect of voiding private contracts between United Airlines and its customers, contrary to long-established Constitutional law.

No matter what kind of 'spin' is attached to these 'security' measures, these measures do not protect the public at all; they actually harm the public physically and psychologically. You would agree, I'm sure, that harming the public is not a legitimate function of government or of corporations.

Furthermore, submitting to such procedures as a condition of doing business with you has the following serious consequences:

1. Imagine, if you will, all of the lesbians who will seek employment with the TSA for the legal authority to fondle women all day long.
2. Imagine, if you will, all of the gay men who will seek employment with the TSA for the legal authority to fondle men's genitalia all day long.
3. And saddest of all, imagine, if you dare, all of the pedophiles who will seek employment with the TSA for the legal authority to fondle children and adolescents all day long **without having to register as sex offenders.**

All of the above, has led to the reluctant decision not to do business with the airline industry without a life or death necessity to do so.

I have my last flight scheduled in a few weeks. I will politely decline to submit to the degrading and dangerous falsely named 'security' measures, sexual touching and scatter-back x-rays, that will be necessary to fly with you. **Though, as always, I will submit to going through the metal detector.** Most likely, however, I will not be permitted to fly for refusing to submit to morally decadent sexual groping and medically unjustified, potentially dangerous procedures in order to do so. Accordingly, I will seek a refund of the cost of my ticket for failure of consideration.

With kindest regards,

Very Respectfully,
