

# ***POLICE/TERRY STOP HANDOUT FORM INSTRUCTIONS***

## **1. PURPOSE**

- 1.1. A traffic stop is called a “Terry Stop” by the U.S. Supreme Court and police officers.
- 1.2. This form is intended to be used by those who are stopped by a policeman when traveling in an automobile (but not “driving”). Such a situation can be extremely dangerous, and especially if there is a weapon inside the vehicle.
- 1.3. There have been many occasions where people have been killed by police for mishandling such a confrontation. This document is intended to:
  - 1.3.1. Prevent violence by either you or the police.
  - 1.3.2. Identify the conveyance as private property in an UNREGISTERED state. Only REGISTERED vehicles can be towed if the operator is unlicensed.
  - 1.3.3. Educate you about your rights in such a situation.
  - 1.3.4. Eliminate the possibility or necessity that you must speak to the officer during the stop. This form communicates everything they need so there is no need to ask further questions. Thus, there is no risk of incriminating yourself unnecessarily or being DUPED into helping the officer conduct a “fishing expedition”.
  - 1.3.5. Destroy any possibility of “probable cause” to stop you and thus to make any detainment, arrest, or search ILLEGAL.
  - 1.3.6. Make the police feel at ease by revealing up front whether or not the vehicle or the traveler is armed.
  - 1.3.7. Educate the police about the limitations of law upon their behavior.
  - 1.3.8. Destroy the “plausible deniability” of the police relating to ignorance about the legal limits on their authority.
  - 1.3.9. Limit the possibility of an unjust search.
  - 1.3.10. Generate evidence useful in court that a jury must read if it ends up in court.
  - 1.3.11. Destroy the possibility of the traveler being identified as a member of a violent group such as “sovereign citizens” or any OTHER label that might make the police more violent in response.
- 1.4. If the traveler is handling their affairs properly:
  - 1.4.1. The vehicle will be in a trust managed by someone else and they will not be the owner. Therefore, the vehicle can’t be towed or impounded because the operator is not the owner and must be released to the trustee. For details on how to put the vehicle into a trust, see:
    - 1.4.1.1. *Hot Issues: Creating and Running a Business, Trust, or Estate*\*\* (Member Subscriptions)  
<https://sedm.org/creating-and-running-a-business/>
    - 1.4.1.2. *Creating and Running a Business, Trust, or Estate*, Form #09.079\*\* (Member Subscriptions)  
<https://sedm.org/product/creating-and-running-a-business-form-09-079/>
  - 1.4.2. The operator will have prepared in advance the following and have it in their custody:

<u><i>Private Identification Card</i>**</u> , Form #06.034 <a href="https://sedm.org/product/private-identification-card-form-06-034/">https://sedm.org/product/private-identification-card-form-06-034/</a>
---
  - 1.4.3. The operator will have inside the vehicle the following completed form from our site:

<u><i>Power of Attorney: Private Conveyance</i></u> , Form #06.030 <a href="https://sedm.org/product/power-of-attorney-private-conveyance-form-06-030/">https://sedm.org/product/power-of-attorney-private-conveyance-form-06-030/</a>
---

## **2. PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS:**

- 2.1. This form is electronically fillable using the free Adobe Acrobat Reader available from:  
<https://get.adobe.com/reader/>
- 2.2. Using the free Adobe Reader, you can fill in this form the way you like. If you have the full version of Adobe Acrobat, you can also save the filled in form on your local hard drive for later reuse. This makes using the form very convenient.
- 2.3. Fill in Section 1 of the form.
- 2.4. Hand the following to the Police Officer:
  - 2.4.1. This completed form.
  - 2.4.2. The Power of Attorney: Private Conveyance, Form #06.030, if your car is in a Trust and not in your name.
- 2.5. Demand that they fill out section 8 and sign section 9 and return it to you.
- 2.6. If they won't complete section 8 and sign section 9, do NOT give them any physical evidence of identification provided by any government, such as a driver license, passport, military ID, etc. INSTEAD, give them a signed version of Form #06.034. This document makes them enfranchised if they use your identity for a commercial purpose, and thereby waive official, judicial, and sovereign immunity in exchange for the PRIVILEGE of burdening you commercially.

## **3. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE AT TRAFFIC STOP, TRAFFIC COURT, OR DMV**

- 3.1. If you as the Traveler are stopped at a traffic stop by a police officer, or summoned to traffic court because of a citation, you should bring or carry at least TWO copies of this document in the vehicle.
- 3.2. When the police officer confronts you or the judge summons you into the well, you need to present this completed form to either party and have them sign Section 9 on YOUR copy.
- 3.3. Many states allow conveyances operated by unlicensed drivers to be towed. Keep in mind, HOWEVER, that the vehicle must be REGISTERED to do so. It is therefore imperative that if you DID register the vehicle, that you WAIVE the "benefits" (such as right to tow) of vehicle registration or else they may try to tow your vehicle if you do not present a license when asked. Our Power of Attorney: Private Conveyance, Form #06.030 also does this, which you should also hand to them if the vehicle is owned by a trust (which we recommend, by the way).
- 3.4. When stopped, do the following to minimize the possibility of violence by the Police Officer:
  - 3.4.1. Roll the window ALL THE WAY DOWN so you can talk with the officer. Make sure he can see the entire interior of the vehicle to assess physical threats before proceeding
  - 3.4.2. Warn them before you do anything that you are not carrying a weapon and will not fire at them, try to flee, or drive the vehicle over them. If you ARE carrying a gun, put it far enough away in the vehicle that you can't reach it and tell them this is what you are going to do BEFORE you do it.
  - 3.4.3. Take the car keys OUT of the ignition and remind them that you aren't going to flee the scene or use the vehicle to drive over them or back into them.
  - 3.4.4. Smother them with love, respect, and kindness if you can stand it. This heaps hot coals on their head.
  - 3.4.5. DO NOT move suddenly.
  - 3.4.6. DO NOT raise your voice or appear angry.
  - 3.4.7. Keep your hands on the steering wheel so they don't think you are reaching for a gun to shoot them.
  - 3.4.8. Be polite and courteous and remind them that you appreciate the dangerous job they have to do and intend to cooperate fully in not committing a crime and opposing and interfering with criminal activity of all others, including THEM.

#### 4. **RESOURCES FOR FURTHER STUDY:**

- 4.1. *Power of Attorney: Private Conveyance*, Form #06.030  
<https://sedm.org/product/power-of-attorney-private-conveyance-form-06-030/>
- 4.2. *Waiver of Immunity-Police*, Litigation Tool #01.008 -requires the policeman to agree he will tell the truth and be held legally responsible for lying when communicating with you  
<https://sedm.org/Litigation/01-General/WaiverOfImmunity-Police.pdf>
- 4.3. *Rebutted False Arguments About Sovereignty*, Form #08.018-false arguments you are likely to hear about sovereignty from misinformed judges and police officers.  
<https://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgSovereignty.pdf>
- 4.4. *Federal Law Enforcement Training Center: Terry Stop Update* (OFFSITE LINK)
  - 4.4.1. Original: [https://www.fletc.gov/sites/default/files/imported\\_files/training/programs/legal-division/downloads-articles-and-faqs/research-by-subject/4th-amendment/terrystopupdate.pdf](https://www.fletc.gov/sites/default/files/imported_files/training/programs/legal-division/downloads-articles-and-faqs/research-by-subject/4th-amendment/terrystopupdate.pdf)
  - 4.4.2. Local copy: <https://famguardian.org/Subjects/Crime/DealingWithPolice/terrystopupdate-fletc.gov.pdf>
- 4.5. *Federal Law Enforcement Training Center: Searching a Vehicle Without a Warrant* (OFFSITE LINK)
  - 4.5.1. Original: [https://www.fletc.gov/sites/default/files/imported\\_files/training/programs/legal-division/downloads-articles-and-faqs/research-by-subject/4th-amendment/searchingavehicle-carroll.pdf](https://www.fletc.gov/sites/default/files/imported_files/training/programs/legal-division/downloads-articles-and-faqs/research-by-subject/4th-amendment/searchingavehicle-carroll.pdf)
  - 4.5.2. Local copy: <https://famguardian.org/Subjects/Crime/DealingWithPolice/searchingavehicle-carroll.pdf>
- 4.6. *Hot Issues: Right to travel/Dealing with the Police\** -SEDM. Much useful information  
<https://sedm.org/right-to-travel/>
- 4.7. *Hot Issues: Identification* -SEDM. How NOT to become enfranchised in obtaining identification  
<https://sedm.org/identification/>
- 4.8. Useful sources of Non-governmental ID to present to police during the stop:
  - 4.8.1. *Private Identification Card\*\**, Form #06.034 -preferred ID during traffic stop  
<https://sedm.org/product/private-identification-card-form-06-034/>
  - 4.8.2. *Government Verified Identity Document*, Form #05.021-last resort ID.  
<https://sedm.org/Forms/06-AvoidingFranch/GovVerifiedIdentityDoc.pdf>
- 4.9. *Sovereignty for Police Officers Course*, Form #12.022-use this to educate the officer, who is usually MISINFORMED about freedom generally.
  - 4.9.1. Slides: <https://sedm.org/LibertyU/SovereigntyForPolice.pdf>
  - 4.9.2. Video: <http://youtu.be/qFDWYlWiE1I>
- 4.10. *The Language of Consent in Police Encounters* - Janice Nadler, Northwestern University School of Law  
[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1485008](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1485008)
- 4.11. *Consent to Search by Ignorant People* - Christo Lassiter, University of Cincinnati, College of Law  
[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1111483](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1111483)
- 4.12. *Profiling and Consent: Stops, Searches and Seizures after Soto* - Jeffrey Fagan, Columbia Law School  
[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1641326](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1641326)
- 4.13. *Criminal Justice and Terrorism Topic, Section 5: Dealing with the Police*, Family Guardian Fellowship  
[https://famguardian.org/Subjects/Crime/Crime.htm#DEALING\\_WITH\\_THE\\_POLICE:](https://famguardian.org/Subjects/Crime/Crime.htm#DEALING_WITH_THE_POLICE:)
- 4.14. *State Vehicle Codes*

<http://famguardian.org/Subjects/Freedom/Rights/Travel/StateVehCodeLaws.htm>

4.15. Papers, Please!

<https://papersplease.org>

4.16. Hiibel v. Sixth Judicial District of Nevada, 542 U.S. 177 (2004) -Supreme court case that allows police to enforce the requirement that you must identify yourself during a traffic stop

[https://scholar.google.com/scholar\\_case?case=9995425018966578786](https://scholar.google.com/scholar_case?case=9995425018966578786)

4.17. Defending Your Right to Travel, Form #06.010

<http://sedm.org/Forms/FormIndex.htm>

## 5. DEDICATION

*If the government had the police only pull over reckless operation, red light runners and other gross offenses...they'd cut down on the potential for cop abuses greatly. But the state and especially city/Muni are NOT really interested in that as much as the wokesters claim because it's sizable \$\$\$ funding the courts and prostitutes off all the ignorant peasants groveling before them. Every time I enter a courtroom I see the fancy dressed court personnel vs Joe average who cant afford it. Its really clear. It's a battle of wits essentially against an unarmed man or woman. If that is what politicians call "justice" and you believe them, I've got some swampland in Florida to sell you. The fact that people can graduate from public/government city school without ANY legal or even constitutional training is evidence of a conspiracy by public servants to create a dulocracy that puts them in charge, instead of what the courts call "the sovereign people" they are SUPPOSED to serve and not rule over. It is a complete travesty of justice.*

*Get legally educated people! The protectors have turned into predators and you are the prey.*

# POLICE/TERRY STOP HANDOUT

## PURPOSE OF THIS FORM:

1. Disclose up front whether a dangerous weapon is in the vehicle or carried by the traveler.
2. Satisfy the U.S. Supreme Court requirement that those traveling in a vehicle must identify themselves to police when asked.
3. Educate the police about the limits imposed by law upon their behavior.
4. Limit the possibility of an unconstitutional search of the conveyance by the police.
5. Minimize the possibility of misunderstanding or violence by either party.
6. Eliminate the necessity for the traveler to speak to the police officer.
7. Destroy any possibility of probable cause to conduct the stop, detainment, or arrest to begin with, thus making any resulting actions by the police unconstitutional and unlawful.

## INSTRUCTIONS TO RECEIVING OFFICER/AGENT:

1. Complete Section 8, sign section 9, and hand it back to the Traveler.
2. The Traveler will then provide written, physical evidence of identity to the officer.
3. If the questions in Section 8 are not answered or the form in Section 9 is not signed by the officer, no PHYSICAL identification will be provided and the ID of the Traveler then defaults to that specified in section 4 as "I AM" in satisfaction of his/her Biblical delegation of authority order as an agent of the True Principal and ONLY Sovereign, who is God.

Throughout this document, the person who handed you this form is referred to as the "Traveler" (not "driver") and the recipient is referred to as "Police" or "Police Officer".

## SECTION 1: WEAPONS IN THE VEHICLE OR THE CUSTODY OF TRAVELER

Please initial one before handing this form to the officer:

1. Does the vehicle or the traveler have a weapon in their custody (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
2. Type of weapon, if any: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Is the weapon loaded (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No

## SECTION 2: IDENTITY OF THE TRAVELER

According to the U.S. Supreme Court, police conducting a stop in which there is "reasonable suspicion" of criminal activity have the authority to enforce the requirement that the suspect identify themselves. [Hiibel v. Sixth Judicial District of Nevada, 542 U.S. 177 \(2004\)](#). By "reasonable suspicion" they mean BASED ON ACTUAL COURT ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE and not mere presumption, and upon which the facts forming the evidence are revealed and explained before proceeding. No government identification need be presented and a verbal identification is sufficient. This requirement ALSO goes BOTH ways as a matter of mere JUSTICE (equality), because you as a Police Officer had to TRAVEL in a vehicle to find me and stop me and I also have a "reasonable suspicion" that you MIGHT be engaging in criminal activity in this scenario that I shall explain later in this document. AFTER receiving this completed form back from You, the Police Officer, the Traveler will present court admissible evidence of IDENTITY but not before.

As far as a government-issued driver license, the Traveler has approached the DMV and been told that they were INELIGIBLE to receive one because they don't consent to have or use an SSN, or to any type of biometric recording for identification purposes, including but not limited to iris, fingerprints, etc. As such, I hope it isn't the intention of you, the Police Officer, to arrest me for not having that which YOUR employer and its agent, the DMV, REFUSE to issue me. I am otherwise a safe driver and having a piece of paper or card essentially CERTIFYING that I waived all my constitutional rights and agreed to be CIVILLY taxed and regulated doesn't make me any safer of a driver. I don't get into accidents. If you insist on a license ANYWAY, I question your motives for the reasons identified in the Section 4, Item 5. The U.S. Supreme Court has certified that those who invoke the "benefits" or privileges of a CIVIL statute in effect WAIVE all their constitutional rights. Such statutes would include the vehicle code. See [Ashwander v. TVA, 297 U.S. 288 \(1936\)](#) and the Brandeis Rules of the U.S. Supreme Court.

It is UNCONSCIONABLE to arrest me for refusing to manifest consent to waive all my constitutional rights in exchange for the CIVIL STATUTORY PRIVILEGE of acting as a compelled agent/officer of the state government called a "driver" created and defined in the vehicle code and which is government property. That would make this confrontation in effect into a hostage situation and you into a criminal taking me hostage at gunpoint and me a victim of criminal identity theft and human trafficking as documented in: Government Identity Theft, Form #05.046; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/GovernmentIdentityTheft.pdf>.

Further, the ONLY party I can truthfully identify myself as in the presence of such duress on your part or that of your employer is the name of the party who is the SOURCE of duress. YOU, as a person in effect pointing a gun at me in this case, are the most immediate and direct source of that duress, and therefore I am a compelled agent of you under duress. My "IDENTITY" in satisfaction of the requirements of the Supreme Court in Hiibel is literally YOUR birthname or the person you pretend to work for in this case, being the state government, under the COLOR but without the actual AUTHORITY of law. If someone walks you into a bank with a gun in your back and tells you to tell the bank teller to hand over all the money in their cash drawer, then WHO in that scenario is robbing the bank? You or the person holding a gun in your back? DUUUH. Don't they teach you this in the Police Academy, my friend? If they don't, you're a useful idiot for oligarchs engaged in a coup to overthrow a constitutional republic and replace it with a de facto terrorist syndicate that renames its protection money by calling it "taxes". Who is the real customer here? YOU as a Public Servant or the sovereign PEOPLE of this state you work for according to a law dictionary written by a U.S. Supreme Court Justice back when judges actually told not only the Truth, but the Whole Truth on the record of every proceeding?

**SOVEREIGN POWER.** See SOV-  
**EREIGNTY.** The sovereign or supreme power  
 in every state resides in the people. Black-  
 stone supposes the *jura summi imperii*, or  
 the right of sovereignty, to reside in those  
 hands in which the exercise of the power of  
 making laws is placed. Our simple and more  
 reasonable idea is that the government is a  
 mere agency established by the people for  
 the exercise of those powers which reside in  
 them. The powers of government are not,  
 in strictness, granted, but delegated powers.  
 They are then trust powers, and may be  
 revoked. It results that no portion of  
 sovereignty resides in government. Ander-  
 son; 1 Sharsw. Bl. Com. 49.

[Bouvier's Law Dictionary, Baldwin's Students Edition, 1928]

### SECTION 3: ANSWERS TO TYPICAL QUESTIONS FROM POLICE

- Q1. Will you speak to me instead of handing me this piece of paper?  
 A1. AFTER you answer the questions in Section 8 under penalty of perjury and I have had a chance to read your answers, I will gladly do so.
- Q2. Are you a "sovereign citizen"?
- A2. Please provide a statutory definition of that term. I have been looking for a definition for years and have never found it, and it would be an unconstitutional violation of due process to PRESUME what it is. Unless you can provide such evidence, there is nothing further to discuss on this subject.
- Q3. I don't have to answer your questions. Will you still cooperate?
- A3. Then I guess I'll follow your example and not answer your questions either. All are treated equally under real law and if they AREN'T, then criminal slavery and human trafficking is involved. This document will therefore have to answer all your questions and be used as evidence against you when you inevitably end up in court over this issue if it escalates further. It wouldn't have to escalate if you were better trained in the law, more patient, more respectful, and less hostile. The decision is yours, my friend.
- Q4. This private identification you provided (Form #06.034, for instance) is insufficient and possibly fake. Do you have government issued identification?
- A4. According to the court, PRIVATELY issued identification is NOT "fake" identification. See [United States v. Fox, 766 F. Supp. 569 \(N.D. Tex. 1991\)](#). I regard your employer as a FAKE government called a "de facto" government. Doesn't that make the ID issued by the state FAKE? I don't want to use FAKE ID so I can't use STATE ID. For evidence that the government we have now is FAKE, see: [De Facto Government Scam](#), Form #05.043; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/DeFactoGov.pdf>. Further, your employer has made it IMPOSSIBLE to acquire an ID as a "nonresident" like me who chooses NOT to acquire any civil status under any civil law in the process of getting an ID. They are FORCING me to become a member of the state government called a "res-ident" (a "res" that is "identified") within their employment agreement called the civil code. That is a violation of my biblical delegation of authority order and the First Amendment. That again is human trafficking and a "tacit procreation" I can have no part of. The law cannot require an impossibility. You can't tell me I must have government ID and yet refuse to issue it to people like me in a way that respects and protects my choice of status and First Amendment right to not associate and right to not contract with the state government. How do you suggest I get an ID WITHOUT inadvertently becoming or generating evidence of consent to become a CIVIL statutory "person", "resident", "citizen", "driver", etc? Where is separation of church and state? I'm the church and you are the state. The Bible says my BODY is a temple in [1 Cor. 6:19-20](#).
- Q5. Can I see your license, registration, and insurance?
- A5. You are travelling right now and I have a "reasonable suspicion" that you are engaged in criminal activity, kidnapping, human trafficking, and CIVIL enforcement beyond the police powers of the state. To cooperate with you would be to engage in a conspiracy to aid and abet your crimes unless and until you prove that they AREN'T crimes. YOU TOO are therefore required by the U.S. Supreme Court in *Hibel* to present ID and I want to see it and question YOU first. AFTER you answer the questions in section 8, I will gladly follow your example and answer YOUR questions but not before. This confrontation is 100% in your hands. Your burden of proof in answering my questions is that:  
 (A) infractions such as not having a license or registration have an injured party and are therefore crimes rather than mere civil violations.  
 (B) the vehicle code can impose CIVIL obligations absent my consent to become a public officer called a "driver" and absent a VOLUNTARY domicile within the venue you work for, which I don't consent to. According to the Declaration of Independence, anything CIVIL that does not derive from my consent is UNJUST. I INSIST that consent MUST be in writing, that you will respect and not punish my right to NOT consent, and that I not be enticed to give up any constitutional right as a result of giving that consent, because PRIVATE rights are unalienable per the Declaration of Independence.

(C) you are NOT engaging in CRIMINAL human trafficking and slavery by punishing me or holding me at gun point or even shooting me for REFUSING to volunteer to be an uncompensated civil statutory public officer called a "driver" in the vehicle code.

Q6. Will you get out of the car voluntarily?

A6. AFTER you answer my questions under penalty of perjury in Section 8 and sign in Section 9. Otherwise, you will have to criminally assault me to do so, which is a crime you cite other people for when they do it to you. Why am I being treated UNEQUALLY? Equal treatment is the foundation of freedom and the foundation of ALL just law and of justice itself. I don't claim to be superior to you, but you are acting like you are superior to me. That's unjust. A refusal to respect my equality to you under real law gives rise to a "reasonable suspicion" you are engaged in a crime on this occasion, which is why I must ask you questions to begin with. The only difference between rape and voluntary dating is consent. Are you a rapist?

Q7. Where do you live?

A7. In a body created and owned by God.

Q8. Where does your body sleep at night?

A8. In a bed created and owned by my God.

Q9. Where is the bed?

A9. On the floor created and owned by God.

Q10. Where is the floor?

A10. On the absolutely owned land created and owned by my God over which I am a trustee under His delegation of authority order, the Holy Bible. Since God is the owner, my exclusive allegiance is to Him and not to his mere trustees who are willfully and maliciously and even criminally violating their biblical delegation of authority order, which is also a trust indenture as described in: *Delegation of Authority Order from God to Christians*, Form #13.007; <https://sedm.org/Forms/13-SelfFamilyChurchGovnce/DelOfAuthority.pdf>. Do you want me to falsely pretend that your employer as trustee is the owner of this land so that I reject God as my King and let him usurp God's authority by declaring a civil domicile on the owner's land? That would be mutiny against God and a violation of the first four commandments of the Ten Commandments in [Exodus 20](#).

*"Do you not know that friendship with the world is enmity with God? Whoever therefore wants to be a friend [CIVIL STATUTORY "citizen", "resident", "taxpayer", "inhabitant", "person", or "subject" under a king or political ruler] of the world [or any man-made kingdom other than God's Kingdom] makes himself an enemy of God." [James 4:4, Bible, NKJV]*

#### SECTION 4: COURT ADMISSIBLE FACTS ABOUT CIVIL STATUS OF TRAVELER

Traveler:

1. Is in NO RUSH. Take as much time as you need to read and understand this document and answer the questions later in section 8. If you DO NOT intend to answer the questions in order to satisfy my "reasonable suspicions" of criminal activity on you or your employers part, then ALL my time is billable at a rate that I specify and as described later in this section. The evidentiary basis for those suspicions is found later in Section 6.
2. OBEYS and RESPECTS all CRIMINAL laws and believes he/she is subject to them all within the physical territory they apply, just as YOU the recipient are and MUST BE. Such "laws" EXCLUDE (a) "infractions" in which there is no injured party or (b) law that is enforced outside the territory that it is limited to. Infractions are CIVIL in nature and designed mainly to raise revenue and regulate the use of government/PUBLIC property. It is a common law maxim of law that all real law is prima facie territorial and that when law seeks to operate extraterritorially, it must do so through consent or contract in some PROVEN form. When criminal law operates extraterritorially, it does so in a quasi-criminal rather than a criminal capacity, and must depend on a PREDICATE civil status ("person", "citizen", "resident", etc.) to do so. See the following for court admissible proof: *Federal Jurisdiction*, Form #05.018; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/FederalJurisdiction.pdf>.
3. Is NOT on drugs or alcohol and doesn't get intoxicated or drug impaired and ISN'T intoxicated or drug impaired at this time.
4. Expects nothing more out of this interaction other than to simply be left alone, which is what legal "justice" is defined as. This will allow Traveler to live a RESPONSIBLE life free of civil statutory obligations, immunities, and privileges on an EQUAL footing to all governments in any and every court. See: *What is "Justice"?*, Form #05.050; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/WhatsJustice.pdf>. Will YOU render me "justice" today, which end is the very purpose of government itself, or NOT.
5. Is NOT violent and has never engaged in violence against or been convicted of attacking any police officer. You don't need to call in reinforcements because there is no risk of violence by Traveler. Your main enemy on this occasion is your own legal ignorance, not me. If you don't think you know the law well enough to conduct yourself without violating it or need personal help in obeying it by summoning backups to the scene, why are you a Police Officer to begin with?
5. Does not claim that he/she is subject to NO laws or immune from ALL LAWS in the context of this interaction. Everyone is subject to the criminal laws attached to physical territory they stand on and need not consent in order to convey the "force of law" to such laws. CIVIL statutes (which are usually franchises of some kind applicable only to CLUB members called "citizens" and "residents"), however, only acquire the "force of law" by consent in some form. See the following for proof: *Why Domicile and Becoming a "Taxpayer" Require Your Consent*, Form #05.002; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/Domicile.pdf>.
6. Does not question the need for public safety on the roadways. The problem is that this is not the goal of MOST of the vehicle code. The main if not EXCLUSIVE goal of the vehicle code is:
  - 6.1. To raise revenue to support functions and services that have NOTHING to do with safe roadways and which I do not want, do not need, do not approve of, and in many cases injure the public health.

- 6.2. To admit to a domicile or privileged "residence" (res-identified) that I don't want, don't need, and which I define as an INJURY.
- 6.3. To regulate, control, or tax things or property that have NOTHING to do with public safety. For instance, to make the issuance of a driver license CONDITIONED upon the payment of all government fines, whether child support is paid, or any other condition. All of these things are CIVIL rather than CRIMINAL matters that do nothing to increase the safety of the roadways.
- 6.4. To compel participation in OTHER unrelated franchises, such as Social Security. Issuance of Driver Licenses is conditioned on applying for and using a Social Security Number, which is NOT available to Americans born or domiciled within constitutional states as proven in: *Why You Aren't Eligible for Social Security*, Form #06.001; <https://sedm.org/Forms/06-AvoidingFranch/SSNotEligible.pdf>.
- 6.5. To force me to acquire a statutory CIVIL status that I don't consent to in yet ANOTHER unrelated franchise, such as the CIVIL code status of "person", "resident", "citizen", "spouse" (under the family code), etc. This is criminal identity theft. This makes me a member of a civil or legal group I do NOT want membership in, thus violating my First Amendment rights and my biblical delegation of authority order. Christians are commanded to be IN the world but not OF the world. They are CITIZENS of Heaven who the Bible calls whores if they claim to be STATUTORY "citizens" of any secular worldly authority. Phil. 3:20.
- 6.6. To engage in unconscionable adhesion contracts to destroy or invalidate MOST of the constitutional rights of those who are subject to it.

The above items are what I refer to as "weaponization of government". In the corporate world, this is called monopolistic "bundling" and it is ILLEGAL. What you have implemented in effect functions in the private realm like a store where if you buy ANYTHING in the store, you can't walk out of the store without buying EVERYTHING and ANYTHING in the store that the store owner wants you to. No store is allowed to function like this and no GOVERNMENT should either. For a definition of "weaponization of government", see:

[SEDM Disclaimer](#), Section 4.30, <https://sedm.org/disclaimer.htm#4.30>. [Weaponization of government](#).

A government CREATED exclusively to PROTECT constitutional rights and PRIVATE property must NOT be allowed to create or implement a profitable CIVIL franchise business out of ALIENATING those same rights, whether by the vehicle code or some other name, and worst yet do it in the fraudulent name of "public safety". It is a violation of fiduciary duty and their oath for public officers to abuse their authority, whether through CIVIL legislation, or by executive or regulatory authority or policy, to implement such things. This is also called "the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine" by the U.S. Supreme Court. The fact that a corrupted government is doing these things is a crime in my case. This criminal activity is the main reason I don't want to apply for or use a Driver License or CONSENT to the CIVIL franchise obligations of the "driver" described in the state vehicle code. This is also why even if I DID have a license, I wouldn't want to present it to you because it would inevitably be abused for the above NEFARIOUS purposes. It is precisely this problem that gives rise to a "reasonable suspicion" of criminal activity on your part in this case that gives rise to MY right to ask YOU questions in this case, just like the U.S. Supreme Court acknowledged that you have against me for the same reason.

7. Will not be presenting a government-issued license or registration on this occasion because he/she waives the privileges, protections, and "benefits" of these things. A private person protected by the constitution and not civil statutory law such as Traveler cannot have, use, or receive the "benefit" of civil statutory protection or the public rights that implement them. To suggest that I cannot WAIVE the "benefit" of these things on this occasion, whether I have them or not, is an admission that I am a SLAVE and that if I receive a government benefit, I agree to be ON DUTY at all times without direct financial compensation. What employer or business is allowed to do THAT? NO ONE.
8. Is acting in a REPRESENTATIVE capacity as a full time agent and fiduciary of God in this interaction. My delegation of authority order is described below:  
[Delegation of Authority Order from God to Christians](#), Form #13.007; <https://sedm.org/Forms/13-SelfFamilyChurchGovnce/DelOfAuthority.pdf>
9. Is NOT anti-government in any way and doesn't want to "defund the police". You do an IMPORTANT and NECESSARY job that I FULLY support. I am willing to even SPONSOR and cooperate at my own expense with CRIMINAL enforcement activities that people like you LAWFULLY and ACCOUNTABLY engage in. Thank you for risking your LIFE, by the way, to protect us all from criminals. Only to the extent that you or your employer are UNACCOUNTABLE in doing your job, or that you violate CRIMINAL laws, or that you enforce civil obligations that I didn't demonstrably consent to IN WRITING during this interaction do I NOT support what you are doing now. I believe it is an abuse of the police powers (which are exclusively CRIMINAL in nature) for Police to enforce the CIVIL statutes, CIVIL franchises, or to enforce CIVIL obligations connected to traffic "infractions", which are also CIVIL and quasi-contractual in nature by virtue of the fact that there is no injured party.
10. Will tell you about any crime I know about provided it doesn't involve me. This is especially true if you are the perpetrator.
11. Is commanded by the Biblical delegation order to LOVE his/her neighbor. [Matt. 22:34-40](#). That means PROTECTING them and helping them if they are not hurting me in the process. You don't hurt or commit crimes against people God commands you to LOVE.
12. Is NOT here to ARGUE with you or ACCUSE you of anything. Rather, I will merely ask questions and insist on complete, legally actionable answers before cooperating further or IN ANY WAY with the Police. If you GIVE no answers, you will get the SAME treatment BACK. All are treated equally under REAL law. Tit for tat. This is called the Golden Rule: Treat others as you would have them treat you. This is also called "Jesus Jujitsu" or the "Socratic Method" and it's a great way to diffuse potential conflict and prevent illegal or harmful activities.

13. Does not have or consent to have any civil statutory status, including but not limited to "sovereign citizen", "person", "citizen", "resident", "driver" (under the vehicle code), "spouse" (under the family code), "taxpayer" (under the tax code). It is a violation of the First Amendment and compelled contracting to impute or enforce these civil statuses against the traveler.
14. Charges for his/her services and use of his/her property. My time and my labor are valuable and come with a fee/obligation for the use of them. If you can't or won't prove your probable cause for the stop and "reasonable suspicion" of criminal activity to me initially, everything beyond that point is BILLABLE HOURS per the following contract and is hereby stipulated NOT to be an "obstruction of justice". Further, if you can place conditions on the use of public roadways and compel a license, then you need MY LICENSE and permission to DRIVE (control or use for your benefit) my body, my time, and my private property as well under the concept of equal protection and equal treatment. I, as the absolute owner of my time and my body under the Thirteenth Amendment, have a right to decide the cost of my services and this is my cost noticed to you IN ADVANCE:

*Injury Defense Franchise and Agreement*, Form #06.027

<https://sedm.org/Forms/06-AvoidingFranch/InjuryDefenseFranchise.pdf>

It is a violation of the First Amendment for Police Officer or his/her employer to ask Traveler to violate their Biblical delegation order, to do that which God forbids, or to NOT do that which He commands under the above delegation of authority order.

The "IDENTITY" of those acting as a full time Agent of a Principal, being God, is that of the Principal. God, in the Bible, identifies HIMSELF in my delegation of Authority Order, being the Bible, as "I AM" in [Exodus 3:4](#). That, therefore is MY LEGAL NAME in the context of this confrontation. Thus, I have SATISFIED the requirement of the Supreme Court to IDENTIFY myself during a Terry Stop found in [Hiibel v. Sixth Judicial District of Nevada, 542 U.S. 177 \(2004\)](#).

True separation of church and state, on this occasion, DEMANDS, that I cannot serve two CIVIL masters, meaning God and mammon (meaning GOVERNMENT) at the same time. [Matt. 6:24](#), and [Luke 16:13](#). Thus, I cannot act as a CIVIL AGENT/OFFICER of the State called a "driver", and an agent of God AT THE SAME TIME WITHOUT being compelled to violate my delegation of authority order, the Bible, and thereby being COMPELLED by the state to VACATE my Heavenly office of "Citizen of Heaven" ([Phil. 3:20](#)) and violate the First Amendment right to "practice" my religion. The essence of religious practice is to serve as an Agent of the ONLY true Sovereign, who is God, 24 hours a day, seven days a week, and thus to receive CIVIL protection from ONLY Him, leaving only secular CRIMINAL protection to the corrupted government described below:

*Government Corruption*, Form #11.401; <https://sedm.org/home/government-corruption/>

DO NOT ask me whether I am a "sovereign citizen". There is NO SUCH THING because there is no court admissible definition of such a thing. I have been looking for years for a legal definition and haven't found one. The term is made up by tyrant politicians and people like you to violently radicalize police against those who simply insist on an accountable, law-abiding government. Abuse of this terminology or ANY stereotype or label against me that might connect me to illegal, harmful, violent, or criminal behavior is hereby stipulated by all parties concerned to be TERRORISM disguised to LOOK like anti-terrorism.

These FACTS are verified under penalty of perjury by the person who handed you this paper and you can RELY upon them as truthful, PROVIDED you agree to be equally and LEGALLY responsible (penalty of perjury) for telling the Traveler the truth and truthfully and fully answering all questions you are asked by him/her. ALL are treated equally under REAL law, and that means if you want to hold me accountable for telling the truth, you, TOO must be accountable. Franchises destroy equality, compel servitude, and institute theological idolatry. Franchise participation, such as CIVIL STATUTORY codes, is therefore beyond the delegated authority (Bible) of the Traveler, who is appearing as an Agent and fiduciary of God on this occasion and also violates the First Amendment. See:

*Delegation of Authority Order from God to Christians*, Form #13.007; <https://sedm.org/Forms/13-SelfFamilyChurchGovnce/DelOfAuthority.pdf>

## **SECTION 5: NOTICE TO POLICE OFFICER**

Dear Law Enforcement Officer:

No disrespect is intended, but I understand my rights and I wish to exercise all my rights.

1. Warning: Failure to heed this section shall place you in the class of the "plainly incompetent", knowingly violating the law, and thereby waiver all qualified immunity.
2. The information contained in this document may appear sensational and possibly false, but everything is backed up by evidence and I simply plead with you to finish reading this document and take as much time as you need to in order to do so. I'd even be willing to have a beverage privately after work hours to discuss it at my own expense, if you wish, provided the discussion is the subject of a written non-disclosure agreement relating to any information about myself you learn. I just want you to learn the WHOLE law as I have spent years doing so you don't bother people like me who just want legal justice and the right to be LEFT ALONE by you and in peace. Doesn't everyone want THAT?
3. This interaction will/may be recorded for the protection of the Traveler. Any attempt by Police Officer to talk AT or TO the Traveler or to communicate in writing shall constitute IMPLIED CONSENT to electronic recording of either audio or video or BOTH, at the discretion of Traveler. Police Officer also thereby consents and agrees NOT to destroy any such recordings in order to escape legal accountability for their speech or actions during this encounter.
4. Traveler is presenting this information to you is doing so in an attempt to protect themself from violations of his/her rights.

5. Traveler does not consent to search while using conveyance.
6. Traveler has the right to refuse to consent to any search of his/her body and personal effects.
7. If Traveler is not under arrest, Traveler insists on exercising his right to leave and travel freely.
8. If Traveler is free to leave, please tell them so immediately, so that they can go about their non-commercial activities.
9. If Traveler is under arrest, he/she wishes to invoke and exercise their Miranda rights, and to be allowed the opportunity to obtain the advice of counsel.
10. Traveler has the right to insist, unless you are placing me under arrest, or you can state specific and articulable facts, which warrant your detaining me, that you immediately leave me alone to go about my activities, as is my right.
11. Traveler has no record of violence, but if you believe Traveler is a threat to you, you can ask me to perform a Terry frisk, and a Terry frisk only. However, I view you as a threat and INSIST on the same equal right, which is also my right.
12. Traveler has the right to hereby inform you that he/she does not consent to talk to you.
13. Traveler has the right to have counsel present during questioning.
14. Traveler has a right to an attorney before he/she answers any questions.
15. Traveler reserves his/her right to define when and how he manifests consent in this interaction. On this occasion, IMPLIED/COVERT consent by action is not permitted. The ONLY authorized method of manifesting consent is IN WRITING SIGNED BY TRAVELER.
16. Traveler does not consent to any impoundment of Owner's conveyance or their own property.
17. If Traveler is to be taken into custody, I request a reasonable opportunity to make arrangements to secure the property and have it picked up RATHER than towed and held HOSTAGE in criminal violation of his/her rights.
18. The U.S. Supreme Court has held that police officers MAY NOT coerce consent. **"We set up government by consent of the governed, and the Bill of Rights denies those in power [including police officers] any legal opportunity to coerce that consent.** Authority here is to be controlled by public opinion, not public opinion by authority." [West Virginia Bd. of Ed. v. Barnette, 319 U.S. 624 (1943)]. Consent INCLUDES any occasion in which I am asked to sign any government form, including a traffic citation. Holding a gun to my head and telling me you will take me in unless I sign the citation therefore is an act of international terrorism against a nonresident party.
19. Where an individual is detained, without a warrant and without having committed a crime (traffic infractions are not crimes), the detention is a false arrest and false imprisonment. Damages awarded; Treaveant v. City Of Tampa, 241 F.2d. 336 (11th Cir.1984). Motorist illegally held for 23 minutes in a traffic charge was awarded \$25,000 in damages. The above case sets the foundation for \$75,000 dollars per hour, or \$1,800,000 dollars per day.

**SECTION 6: PROBABLE CAUSE AND "REASONABLE SUSPICION" BY TRAVELER THAT POLICE AND THEIR EMPLOYER ARE ENGAGED IN CRIMINAL ACTIVITY**

The information contained in this section constitutes and proves my "probable cause" and "reasonable suspicion" that you and/or your employer are engaged in criminal activity ON THIS OCCASION, giving rise to my right to ask you, who are a "traveler" and a conspirator in said crime, for your identification pursuant to [Hiibel v. Sixth Judicial District of Nevada, 542 U.S. 177 \(2004\)](#):

1. Your SUPERVISORS as a Police Officer are LYING to you about the limits of your authority so you will in essence VOLUNTEER to become a "useful idiot" for a socialist/Marxist takeover of the de jure government bequeathed by our Founding Fathers. Here is the proof. Watch it when you go home because it's fascinating:  
*Sovereignty for Police Officers Course*, Form #12.022;  
SLIDES: <https://sedm.org/LibertyU/SovereigntyForPolice.pdf>  
VIDEO: <http://youtu.be/qFDWYlWiE1I>
2. You are being LIED to by your supervisors and politicians about the meaning of sovereignty in order to violently radicalize police against people seeking an accountable, law abiding government. See the following:  
*Rebutted False Arguments About Sovereignty*, Form #08.018; <https://sedm.org/Forms/08-PolicyDocs/RebFalseArgSovereignty.pdf>
3. The state and federal governments are entirely lawless, completely unaccountable, and the most DANGEROUS anarchist force in our society. I'm NOT necessarily putting you in that category, because government is a fiction and you have a choice about whether you want to obey them CIVILLY. Here is the proof:  
*Your Irresponsible, Lawless, and Anarchist Beast Government*, Form #05.054; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/YourIrresponsibleLawlessGov.pdf>
4. Anything and everything that any government agent, police officer, or member of the legal profession says or writes, unless qualified by a perjury statement, is PRESUMED to be a LIE that NO ONE and certainly not YOU should NOT trust or rely upon as legally actionable. See:  
*Legal Deception, Propaganda, and Fraud*, Form #05.014; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/LegalDecPropFraud.pdf>
5. Our present state and federal governments are de facto, and not de jure. They have hijacked the public trust and made it into a sham trust for their own personal benefit. See:
  - 3.1. *De Facto Government Scam*, Form #05.043; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/DeFactoGov.pdf>
  - 3.2. *Corporatization and Privatization of the Government*, Form #05.024; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/CorpGovt.pdf>
  - 3.3. *Government Corruption*, Form #11.401; <https://sedm.org/home/government-corruption/>
6. Any attempt to make me or treat me as a "member" or "customer" of the CIVIL services of any government called a "citizen", "resident", "driver", etc is an act of criminal identity theft, a violation of the First Amendment right of political disassociation, and an attempt to compel me to CONTRACT with you under the CIVIL "social compact"/civil statutory

protection franchise as documented in:

*Government Identity Theft*, Form #05.046; <https://sedm.org/Forms/05-MemLaw/GovernmentIdentityTheft.pdf>

7. I am being subjected to continual criminal duress by having to subsidize the CIVIL enforcement activities of the United States government. I would be complicit to subsidize the criminal activities documented herein. I do not consent to receive or pay for ANY civil service any government offers:

*Affidavit of Duress: Illegal Tax Enforcement by De Facto Officers*, Form #02.002; <https://sedm.org/Forms/02-Affidavits/AffOfDuress-Tax.pdf>

Collectively, the activities documented above:

1. Constitute a criminal conspiracy to deprive all Americans of their constitutional rights ([18 U.S.C. §241](#)), to convert those rights to taxable and regulatable CIVIL privileges, and to make everyone into a government slave and serf in violation of the Thirteenth Amendment.
2. Are harmful, unlawful, and even violent in nature when you are abused as a PAWN to protect them, or to persecute or "selectively enforce" against those who expose them or want to fix them.
3. Give rise to "reasonable suspicion" of criminal activity on your part and that of your employer by me, the wrongfully accused.
4. Constitute "probable cause" on my part to inquire about the nature of our confrontation here as an extension of that criminal activity. Satisfying this probable cause is the purpose of my questions on this form.

### **SECTION 7: DEFINITIONS OF ALL TERMS USED BY THE TRAVELLER AND THE POLICE OFFICER AND CHOICE OF LAW IN RESOLVING DISPUTES**

1. NONE of the terms used by either the Traveler or the Police shall be construed in their CIVIL STATUTORY sense or in the context of "infractions", which are similarly CIVIL in nature.
2. The ONLY context in which language used by the Traveler or the Police shall be used or construed is in the context of the common law and the constitution, in which all parties are TREATED absolutely equally in respect to each other, and owe no CIVIL or CONTRACTUAL obligations to each other except as governed by this document and all OTHER documents handed by the Traveler to the Police.
3. Definitions of all terms used by either side during this confrontation shall be those defined as follows: *Sovereignty and Freedom Points and Authorities*, Litigation Tool #10.018, Section 1; <https://sedm.org/Litigation/10-PracticeGuides/PointsAuth.pdf>.
4. All disputes between the Traveler and the Police relating to this confrontation or the language used during it shall be decided under the English common law as described in the following document by a jury of DISINTERESTED, UNENFRANCHISED NONRESIDENT jurists who participate in NO franchises, licenses, or civil statutory privileges that might create in them a criminal conflict of interest. It shall not be decided under the CIVIL laws or the vehicle code. I, as the absolute owner of my body and my time under the Thirteenth Amendment, have a right to decide the weapons and legal tools that may be used to protect or use that property in a legal setting. This is the same power that Congress enjoys under Article 4, Section 3, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution. Since all government power is delegated from the people, then I must have that power also. That is what it means to have a government of the people, by the people, and for the people as President Lincoln claimed during his Gettysburg Address:  
*Choice of Law*, Litigation Tool #01.010; <https://sedm.org/Litigation/01-General/ChoiceOfLaw.pdf>

### **SECTION 8: QUESTIONS OFFICER MUST ANSWER BEFORE TRAVELER IS ALLOWED TO SPEAK TO OFFICER**

Any questions left unanswered in this section by the officer in receipt of them shall assume the DEFAULT answer of YES. These questions are directed at you as a Police Officer, and not a private human. As an agent of the state as a corporation, you have NO AUTHORITY to claim Fifth Amendment rights in answering these questions. *Hale v. Henkel*, 201 U.S. 43 (1906). If you don't LIKE having to answer these questions, then now you know how I feel about answering your questions that might incriminate me. Please show a little empathy and concern for someone other than yourself on this occasion, OK? None of these questions are intended as a request for legal advice of any time. I am simply trying to assess YOUR state of mind and your intentions and whether there is mens rea to commit crime against me now based on them. If you don't want to explain to me HOW and WHY you are obeying what you understand to be "law" on this occasion, then the only thing left for me to conclude is that you are an anarchist, like your employer falsely accuses "sovereign citizens" of being, (of which I am NOT). For the record, I will not cooperate in any way with, subsidize, or associate with anarchists, whether they are ordinary Americans or even Police Officers.

1. Do you agree to be legally liable for telling me the TRUTH, the WHOLE TRUTH, and NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH every time you talk to me in this occasion and future event relating to this occasion (initial one)? (Yes/No)? \_\_\_\_\_
2. If you DON'T agree to be legally responsible for perjury if you lie to me when you communicate with me or the court/government about me, then WHY, pray tell, should I talk with a LIAR? \_\_\_\_\_
3. What is your full birth name for the record that is printed on your birth certificate? If you can ask me my ID, I can demand yours, and if you don't provide it, neither will I because I have reasonable suspicion that YOU are engaged in "criminal activity". ALL are treated equally under REAL law: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Please present a certified copy of the oath of office (initial one)? \_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

5. Please tell me the bond number and your faithful performance bond and issuing company (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No  
Bond number: \_\_\_\_\_  
Insurance company: \_\_\_\_\_
6. If you can't produce evidence of your oath of office and performance bond, why should I cooperate with a de facto officer with no official or lawful authority (initial one)? (Yes/No)? \_\_\_\_\_
7. Do you have any reason to believe that my behavior you have witnessed here today has injured anyone (initial one)? (Yes/No)? \_\_\_\_\_
8. Do you have evidence to prove that I have a consensual contract with you or the state that might give rise to any obligation whatsoever (initial one)? (Yes/No)? \_\_\_\_\_
9. Do you have any evidence to prove without an injury or a contract, that you or the state you work for can impose any civil obligation against me, including the obligation to get a license or government id (initial one)? (Yes/No)? \_\_\_\_\_
10. Do you have any evidence PROVING that I am using the public roadways FOR HIRE on this occasion (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
11. Do you know that all presumptions about me are a violation of due process (initial one, NO PRESUMPTIONS PLEASE!, initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
12. Do you agree NOT to destroy this document if you arrest me and take it from me so that it may be used in court against you if litigation follows (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
13. Is there a camera running in your car or on your person (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
14. Am I able to obtain a copy from you or your employer of all video footage after this stop (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
15. If the answer to question 15 is YES, how might I do that? \_\_\_\_\_
16. Is this arrest or detainment happening on government property owned by the government you work for (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
17. If the answer to the previous question is NO, then do you think this event is a trespass on the real owner's property or that this is an illegal arrest because it is being conducted on private property (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
18. Is it your understanding that [Hiibel v. Sixth Judicial District of Nevada, 542 U.S. 177 \(2004\)](#) allows me to satisfy the requirement to identify myself on this event by simply identifying the Principal I am working on behalf of during this confrontation, being God (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
19. If the answer to the previous questions is "NO", then by what legal authority do claim this?  
\_\_\_\_\_
20. Do you understand that this occasion is what the U.S. Supreme Court calls a "Terry Stop" (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
21. Have you been trained on how to HANDLE a Terry Stop and if so when and where? \_\_\_\_\_
22. What is your probable cause to stop me today, officer? Please be VERY specific and detailed.  
\_\_\_\_\_
23. Do you have a "reasonable suspicion" on this occasion to believe that I am or have been involved in criminal activity (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
24. If the answer to the previous question is YES, please explain that suspicion in detail:  
\_\_\_\_\_
25. Do you view a mere infraction in which there is no injured party as a crime that would give rise to "reasonable suspicion" of criminal activity (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
26. Have you ever been told by anyone working in any government to engage in "selective enforcement" directed at me by following me and trying to INVENT a "probable cause" to stop or search me (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
27. Is it your understanding or have you ever been trained or told that police may only enforce the CRIMINAL laws and may not get involved in CIVIL matters (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
28. Is your purpose today to raise revenue through this police stop (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
29. Do you realize that using police officers of the state to raise CIVIL revenues is an abuse/misuse of the CRIMINAL police powers granted by the constitution to this state (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
30. Will you receive a commission or benefit, directly or indirectly, on any amount of fine connected to any of the vehicle code sections you cite me as being in violation of (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
31. Do you think traffic fine commissions paid to you create a conflict of personal interest in properly and objectively exercising your duties today under the CRIMINAL law (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
32. Have you ever converted any of the proceeds from the search of a vehicle into your own private and person use or taken it home with you (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
33. Do you benefit financially or in any way, directly or indirectly, from any property you confiscate during the search of my vehicle or me EVER (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
34. If you intend to cite me for a traffic violation, will you arrest me and take me to the station if I refuse to sign the citation (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
35. Do you realize that by carrying a LOADED gun, and telling me I have to sign anything at the point of such a gun or be KIDNAPPED and taken to the police station if I DON'T sign, this is a STICK UP and an armed robbery for all practical purposes (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
36. Has anyone working in the government directed you on how or whether to answer this series of questions (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No

37. If the answer is YES to the previous question, please identify the full legal birthname of the party who did so?  
\_\_\_\_\_
38. Have you ever come across a court admissible or statutory definition of what a "sovereign citizen" is (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
39. If the answer to the previous question is NO, then WHY exactly do you accuse people of being something that isn't defined? Isn't this an attempt to violently radicalize police to commit violence or prejudice against a specific targeted group? \_\_\_\_\_
40. Do you see it as a violation of the state constitution to simultaneously serve as a state officer and a federal officer at the SAME TIME (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
41. Do you realize that if you owe a CIVIL obligation to the national government as a STATE police officer, you are simultaneously acting as both a state and federal officer at the same time (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No
42. Did your supervisor or any other government employee advise you in how to answer these questions and if so, who (initial one)? \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_No Person who advised you: \_\_\_\_\_

**SECTION 9: ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF POLICE OFFICER OR DMV ADMINISTRATOR OR JUDGE**

This section affords police officers, judges, and/or DMV administrators with a method to acknowledge receipt of this document from the Traveler.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Police officer                      Date                      Title (if applicable)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Judge                      Date                      Title (if applicable)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of DMV Administrator                      Date                      Title (if applicable)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name

## SAMPLE SIMPLIFIED SCRIPT (FOR REHEARSAL)

Officer approaches.

Roll down your window and keep both hands on the steering wheel.

You: "Good afternoon, officer [Sanchez]. What is the probable cause for this stop?" (Be polite, look the officer in the eye. Pierce his soul with your eyes without anger or fear.)

Officer Sanchez: "You were going 55 mph in a 35 mph zone."

You: "Was anyone hurt or property damaged?"

Officer Sanchez: "No."

You: "Is a crime even rationally possible without an injured party?"

Officer Sanchez: "No."

You: "Aren't police officers like you only supposed to handle criminal matters?"

Officer Sanchez: "Yes."

You: "How can you have a 'reasonable suspicion' of criminal activity on my part if there is no crime to investigate?" (a "reasonable suspicion" of criminal activity is necessary in order to ask for ID)

Officer Sanchez: "I don't know."

You: "Do I have a contract with you?"

Officer Sanchez: "duhh, No! You were going over the speed limit . . ."

You: Repeat the first two questions.

You have already won.

Officer Sanchez will ask for ID's etc.

You: Give 'em the Private Identification Card\*\*<sup>2</sup>, Form #06.034 and not government issued ID. Then state "According to the courts, private ID is not fake ID and according to the DMV, I'm not eligible for a driver license so I can't get one, even though I can pass the test and my license has not been suspended involuntarily.

You: "Officer Sanchez, may I see a certified copy of your oath of office?"

Officer Sanchez: "hem'mmm, uhhh, . . . blah, blah, blah"

You: "Please present a certified copy of your faithful performance bond?"

Officer Sanchez: "hem'mmm, uhhh, . . . blah, blah, blah"

(At this point don't do anything or respond to anything the officer says. You know you haven't committed a crime and you are dealing with a man who is impersonating a public officer because he has no oath or performance bond. He is a DE FACTO officer you owe no legal duty to.)

Sign your ticket in big bold letters: "Non-assumpsit . . .not a contract . . I do not consent."

You have won big time.

Don't show up for court. The court will send you an unsigned, unstamped, unnotarized Demand for Appearance. Send a certified letter challenging the authority of the unsigned, unstamped letter. Give them a time limit to respond.

If you haven't done so, surrender/terminate your license to the State and ask for confirmation of reception.

After a month send the State MV Department a letter notifying them that you no longer drive in commerce, but that you do operate a private conveyance for personal use/pleasure as safely as possible . . . that you are no threat to men or the state . . . that if they object, to do so within 30 days in writing.

Meanwhile, the municipal court will send you another unsigned, unstamped subpoena. Answer as before pointing out the deficiencies promising to appear if they correct the deficiencies. This may be the last you hear from them.

If the court persists ask to see a signed warrant of probable cause that someone was injured . . . and for a copy of the contract that makes you liable to traffic codes. They will never do this because they can't compel your servitude without engaging in slavery.

The worst that can happen is try to terminate a license that isn't active anymore because you already surrendered it. So what?

At this point, the license is not "suspended" because you terminated it before they could. Further, it can only become a suspended license if you drive intoxicated or kill someone while driving. Otherwise, it continues to be a TERMINATED but not SUSPENDED license.

You remain a "safe driver" because you took to the driving test at least once and passed and have not injured anyone thereafter. The state CAN, by the way, SUSPEND the privilege to drive even if they NEVER issued you a license, PROVIDED in most cases once again that they caught you driving drunk or killing people on the roadways. Otherwise, you cannot be prosecuted for driving with a "suspended license". It's "terminated" or surrendered at your request, not "suspended" involuntarily by them. Driving with a "suspended license" is a serious criminal offense. Driving without a license is a civil infraction with no injured party.